



# Eighth Follow-Up Report

## Anguilla

### November 26, 2015

© 2015 CFATF. All rights reserved.

No reproduction or translation of this publication may be made without prior written permission. Requests for permission to further disseminate reproduce or translate all or part of this publication should be obtained from the CFATF Secretariat at [CFATF@cfatf.org](mailto:CFATF@cfatf.org)

## ANGUILLA: EIGHTH FOLLOW-UP REPORT: UPDATE AND FULL ANALYSIS

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. The third round Mutual Evaluation Report of Anguilla was adopted by the CFATF Council of Ministers in October 2010 using the Round Robin process. Anguilla's first follow-up report was tabled in November 2010 at which time Anguilla was placed in expedited follow-up and required to report back to the November 2011 Plenary. Anguilla was given an expedited period of one year due to the fact that legislative amendments were being considered so soon after the evaluation and adoption of the MER and Anguilla also had a history of quick and effective passage of their legislation. Anguilla then reported to the November 2011 Plenary (2<sup>nd</sup> FUR) and Plenary agreed to leave Anguilla in expedited follow-up and to report back in November 2012 (3<sup>rd</sup> FUR). There was implementation of the POCA, but progress otherwise was noted as slow, due in part to the economic downturn that Anguilla was experiencing. Anguilla was required to report back to the November 2013 Plenary (4<sup>th</sup> FUR). At the presentation of the 4<sup>th</sup> FUR, the Plenary agreed that Anguilla needed to hasten its level of implementation and the expedited period was changed to six months and Anguilla was asked to report back at the May 2014 Plenary (5<sup>th</sup> FUR). Anguilla thereafter reported at the November 2014 Plenary (6<sup>th</sup> FUR) and the May 2015 Plenary (7<sup>th</sup> FUR). In the 7<sup>th</sup> FUR, Anguilla was urged to make an application to exit the 3<sup>rd</sup> round follow-up process.
2. This report is based on the follow-up removal procedure as stated in the CFATF Mutual Evaluation Procedures (amended to 2012) and as further explained by the decision of the Miami Plenary (May 2014)<sup>1</sup>. The report contains a detailed description of the measures taken by Anguilla to address deficiencies in their Core and Key Recommendations that were rated partially compliant (PC) or non-compliant (NC) in the mutual evaluation report. A brief description and analysis of the non-Core and Key recommendations rated PC/NC is also being presented.
3. Anguilla was rated PC or NC on the following Recommendations:

Core Recommendations <sup>2</sup> rated partially compliant (PC)
R. 5 (Customer due diligence)
R.13 (Suspicious transaction reports)
SR. IV (Terrorist financing suspicious transaction reports)
Key Recommendation <sup>3</sup> rated PC
R. 23 (Regulation, supervision and monitoring)
R. 26 (The FIU)
Other Recommendations rated PC
R. 9 (Third parties and introducers)
R.12 (DNFBPs – R. 6, 8-11)
R. 14 (Protection & no tipping-off)
R. 16 (DNFBPs – R. 13-15 and 21)
R. 17 (Sanctions)
R. 21 (Special attention for higher risk countries)
R. 24 (DNFBPs regulation, supervision and monitoring)

<sup>1</sup> See. CFATF-plen-XXXIX-aiii-annex-i-updated.

<sup>2</sup> The FATF Core Recommendations are: R.1, R.5, R. 10, R. 13 and SR. II and SR. IV.

<sup>3</sup> The FATF Key Recommendations are R. 3, R. 4, R. 23, R. 26, R.35, R.36, R. 40, SR. I, SR. III and SR. V.

R. 25 (Guidelines and feedback)
R. 29 (Supervisors)
R. 30 (Resources)
R. 32 (Statistics)
SR. VI (AML requirements for MVTs)
SR. VII (Wire transfers)
SR. IX (Cross border declaration & disclosure)
Other Recommendations rated non-compliant (NC)
R. 20 (Other non-financial businesses and professions and secure techniques)
SR. VIII (Non-profit organisations)

4. The review of Anguilla's progress towards exiting the follow-up process is a desk-based review and as such is not as detailed and thorough as a mutual evaluation report. The analysis focuses on the Recommendations that were rated PC/NC and as such only part of the AML/CFT system is being reviewed. The analysis consists of looking at the main laws, regulations, guidelines and other materials to verify technical compliance with the FATF Recommendations. The level of effectiveness is taken into account through consideration of data provided by Anguilla. The conclusions in this report do not prejudice the results of any future assessments as they are based on information that was not verified through an onsite process.

## II. MAIN CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PLENARY

### Core Recommendations:

5. **Recommendation 5:** The enactment of the Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 (APR) and the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 (ENRSP Regulations) along with amendments to the Anti-Money Laundering Terrorist Financing Regulations (AML/TFR), the Anti-Money Laundering Terrorist Financing Code (AML/TFC) and the Proceeds of Crime Act addressed the Examiners' deficiencies so that R. 5 has been brought to a level that is comparable at a minimum to and LC.
6. **Recommendation 13:** The deficiencies that were noted by the Examiners have been addressed by amendments to the AML/TFC, the AML/TFR and the ENRSP Regulations and accordingly raised the Recommendation to a compliance level comparable at a minimum to an LC.
7. **Special Recommendation IV:** The SR.IV deficiencies pertained to attempted transactions and the effective implementation of sanctions. The amendment to the AML/TFC and the enactment of the ENRSP Regulations addressed the deficiencies and raised the level of compliance with SR. IV to one comparable to LC at a minimum.

### Key Recommendations:

8. **Recommendation 23:** The enactment of the ENRSP Regulations; amendments to the Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA) and the Money Services Business Act (MSBA) and the licensing of MSBs under the MSBA, the appointment of the Financial Services Commission (FSC) as the supervisory authority

for domestic banks and the onsite inspection of the financial cooperative have all resulted in bringing this Recommendation to a level comparable at a minimum to an LC.

9. **Recommendation 26:** The signing of a revised MOU between the Chairman of the Money Laundering Reporting Authority (MLRA) and the Commissioner of Police; the hiring of additional staff to the FIU; relocation of the FIU and the purchase of fire proof files have addressed the deficiencies sufficiently to raise R. 26 to a level of compliance that is comparable to LC at a minimum.

#### **Other Recommendations:**

10. Anguilla has also made progress in addressing the deficiencies in its non-core and key Recommendations that were rated PC/NC to the extent that of the remaining six outstanding Recommendations, three are at a substantial level of compliance, while the other three are partially compliant. However, it should be noted that Anguilla's application for removal from the follow-up process is based on its compliance with the Core and Key Recommendations that were rated PC/NC. Accordingly, this report will not provide a detailed analysis of the other Recommendations. A brief overview of the progress made with these other Recommendations is included in section VI of this report for information purposes only. *Statistics on ML/TF investigations, prosecutions, convictions and property frozen, seized, confiscated and forfeited are included at Annex 1, while Mutual Legal Assistance efforts are included at Annex 2.*

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

11. This report provides an analysis of Anguilla's Core and Key Recommendations that were rated PC/NC in its 2009 Mutual Evaluation Report. The analysis indicates that Anguilla has addressed the deficiencies noted in the Core and Key Recommendations rated PC/NC (R. 5, 13, SR. IV, 23 and 26) to a level that is comparable to at least an LC. It is therefore recommended to Plenary that Anguilla should be allowed to exit the third round follow-up process.

### **III. OVERVIEW OF ANGUILLA'S PROGRESS**

#### **Overview of the main changes since the adoption of the Mutual Evaluation Report (MER)**

12. Since the adoption of the MER in 2010, Anguilla has focused on enacting, amending and implementing legislation that would strengthen its AML/CFT framework and address the deficiencies noted by the Examiners in the MER. There has also been a process of ongoing training by the FSC. In addition to amendments to the POCA and the Anti-Money Laundering Combatting the Financing of Terrorism Code, 2009 (AML/CFTC), Anguilla also enacted the Non-Profit Organisations Regulations, 2010 (NPOR) and the Customs (Amendment) Act, 2010. In addressing issues of implementation, which were noted at the time of the approval of the MER due to the recent enactment of legislation, Anguilla laid eleven (11) charge of ML and had the enforcement of an external forfeiture order (section 3 of the POCA) immediately following the evaluation. The Anguillan Authorities established the AML/CFT Legal Services Unit within the FSC to deal with the implementation of a regulatory regime for DNFBPs and NPOs.

#### **The Legal and Regulatory Framework**

13. Anguilla's AML/CFT legal and regulatory framework is based on several pieces of legislation (including regulations) that have been enacted by the Governor in Council and includes the POCA, the AML/CFTC, which includes Guidance and the AML/CFT Regulations. Guidelines have been issued by the FSC. These laws and guidance will be discussed in detail in section IV of the report.

#### IV. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE CORE RECOMMENDATIONS

##### RECOMMENDATION 5 – PC

**R.5 (Deficiency 1): Effectiveness cannot be assessed due to the recent passage of the POCA, Regulations and Code.**

14. At the time of the onsite visit (July 20<sup>th</sup> -31<sup>st</sup>, 2009) Anguilla's new AML/CFT legislation in the form of the POCA, the AML/TFC and the AML/TFR had only been enacted on July 16, 2009. While the POCA and the Code came fully into effect on that date, the AML/TFR had a staggered implementation. As a result of these factors, the Examiners were not able to assess the level of effectiveness of the measures. Since that time, there has been ongoing implementation of the legislative measures, with compliance by FIs and DNFBPs being supervised by the FSC. The deficiency has been sufficiently addressed.

**R.5 (Deficiency 2): The regime for the supervision of and sanction powers for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries is ambiguous.**

15. In addressing this deficiency, discussions were held between the FSC and the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank (ECCB) during the period September 2010 to March 2011, which resulted in the determination that the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance should lie with the domestic jurisdiction. This decision was further reinforced by correspondence from the Deputy Governor of the ECCB on June 7, 2012. Accordingly, the FSC was given this responsibility and the process of drafting amendments to enforce this decision began. The enactment of the ENRSP Regulations, 2013 allows the FSC to supervise domestic banks for AML/CFT compliance. With regard to sanctions, the enactment of the Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013, allows the FSC to impose sanctions for AML/CFT breaches against regulated service providers. Additionally, Schedule 4 to POCA and sections 9-16 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 (ENRSP Regulations) permits the FSC to impose sanctions. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R.5 (Deficiency 3): No requirement in the Regulations or Code that enhanced due diligence be applied to private banking, trusts that operate as personal holding vehicles and nominee arrangements.**

16. Pursuant to section 11A of the AML/TF Code, service providers are required to perform enhanced due diligence and undertake ongoing due diligence where 'a customer, transaction or business relationship involves – (a) private banking, legal entities or arrangements, including trusts, that are personal asset holding vehicles; or (b) companies that have nominee shareholders or shares in bearer form. The measures specified in the Code meet fully address the deficiency.

##### RECOMMENDATION 5 - OVERALL CONCLUSION

17. As a result of the enactment of the Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 and the legislative amendments made to the FSCA, the POCA, the ENRSP Regulations and the AML/TF Code the outstanding deficiencies noted by the Examiners have been addressed at least to a level comparable at a minimum with an LC.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 13 – PC**

##### **R.13 (Deficiency 1): No explicit requirement to include attempted transactions in SARs.**

18. Pursuant to section 29(b) of the AML/TF Code service providers are required to establish internal ‘reporting procedures that require the reporting of attempted transactions and businesses that has been refused, regardless of the amount of the transaction or the value of the refused business, where the attempted transaction or refused business give rise to knowledge, suspicion or reasonable grounds for knowledge or suspicion of money laundering or terrorist financing.’ Based on the aforementioned, the deficiency has been fully addressed.

##### **R.13 (Deficiency 2): Issues regarding the effective implementation of sanctions.**

19. At para. 514 of the MER, the Examiners noted the sanctions for failure to report knowledge or suspicion of ML in both the POCA and the Code and noted that they appeared to be proportionate and dissuasive. Due to the newness of the POCA and Code, the Examiners were of the view that effectiveness could not be properly tested. Since the mutual evaluation, Anguilla has had several ML investigations, prosecutions and convictions. Property has also been frozen. *See Annex 1 attached.* The deficiency has been fully dealt with.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 13 - OVERALL CONCLUSION**

20. The amendment of the AML/TF Code and the ML investigations, prosecutions and convictions show a positive level of implementation of the relevant legislation. The FSC in August 2014 imposed administrative penalties on two service providers totalling EC\$15,000. The recommendations made by the Examiners have been addressed at least to a level comparable with an LC.

#### **SPECIAL RECOMMENDATION IV – PC**

##### **SR.IV (Deficiency 1): No explicit requirement to included attempted transactions in STR.**

21. This deficiency is identical to R. 13-Deficiency 1 which is considered to be addressed by section 29(b) of the AML/TF Code, 2013. Accordingly, SR. IV – Deficiency 1 has been fully addressed.

##### **SR.IV (Deficiency 2): Issues regarding the effective implementation of sanctions.**

22. This deficiency is identical to R. 13-Deficiency 2 which is considered to be addressed by the implementation of sanctions as noted above. Accordingly, SR. IV – Deficiency 2 has been fully addressed.

**SPECIAL RECOMMENDATION IV - OVERALL CONCLUSION**

23. This Special Recommendation mirrored the deficiencies noted for R. 13 as it pertained to suspicious transaction reporting for TF. Accordingly the amendment to section 29 of the Code and the implementation of sanctions addressed the deficiency. *See. Attached Annex 3.* The recommendations made by the Examiners have been addressed at least to a level comparable with an LC.

**V. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE KEY RECOMMENDATIONS****RECOMMENDATION 23 – PC****R. 23 (Deficiency 1): Fit and Proper requirements do not currently apply to money service providers and credit unions.**

24. Pursuant to sections 5(4) and (5) of the Money Services Business Act, 2010 the Authority shall at the time a person applies for a license ensure that the person is a fit and proper person to be licensed to conduct money services business. The criteria that to be considered are contained at sub-section 5 and include issues such as reputation, character, education, honesty and financial status. Additionally, the MSB Act as a financial services enactment is under the Financial Services Enactment Regulations subject to the fit and proper guidelines adopted by the FSC under section 49 of the FSCA. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R. 23 (Deficiency 2): Financial Cooperatives (Credit Unions) are not supervised for AML/CFT compliance.**

25. In addressing this issue, the Authorities began with consultations with the Executive of cooperative societies. At the time of the onsite, there was only one credit union whose services are provided to the members/employees of a particular company. The FSC had not performed an onsite examination of the credit union and the Examiners noted that during the onsite interview the officials of the credit union were not knowledgeable of AML/CFT requirements. The Examiners did note that it did not constitute a high risk institution. On January 28, 2014 the FSC conducted an AML/CFT onsite inspection of the credit union. (See. Para. 647 of the MER.) The deficiency has been fully met.

**R. 23 (Deficiency 3): Lack of legal jurisdiction by the ECCB to effectively supervise AML/CFT implementation in domestic banks and their offshore subsidiary banks.**

26. This deficiency was addressed by an amendment to section 152A of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act, 2013, which cited the FSC as the supervisory authority for externally regulated financial institutions which includes domestic banks and their subsidiaries. As supervisory authority, the FSC is required to determine applications for registration in accordance with the ENSRP Regulations and to take appropriate action against service providers who carry on a business without being registered. The FSC can also take enforcement action for AML/CFT breaches by domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R. 23 (Deficiency 4): The ECSRC does not conduct onsite inspections of any kind on its licensees and lacks power to inspect and sanction for AML/CFT purposes.**

27. As noted in the MER, the Eastern Caribbean Securities Regulatory Commission is the safety and soundness regulatory authority for securities businesses in Anguilla. (Para. 396 of the MER). The ENRSP Regulations also incorporated the supervision of entities subject to the ECSRC supervision. Accordingly, these entities are now being supervised by the FSC for AML/CFT purposes only. *See. Attached Supervision and Oversight chart at annex.* The deficiency has been addressed.

**R. 23 (Deficiency 5): The ECCB cannot directly share information with the FSC on AML/CFT matters pertaining to licensees without an MOU.**

28. As a result of the POCA amendment discussed at deficiency 3 above, which cited the FSC as the supervisory authority for domestic banks, this deficiency has been addressed since the FSC now has direct access to licensees AML/CFT matters. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R. 23 (Deficiency 6): MSBs are not subject to a licensing regime.**

29. Since the mutual evaluation, four (4) applications for licences under the Money Services Business Act (MSBA) were received, with two being granted licences, one denied and the other being recommended for denial. The applicants were all subject to fit and proper tests and the one recommended for denial was on the basis of these tests. Currently all MSBs in Anguilla are licensed. The Money Services Business (Amendment) Act, 2014 further strengthened the requirements for MSBs and included MSBs AML/CFT obligations. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**RECOMMENDATION 23 - OVERALL CONCLUSION**

30. The deficiencies for R. 23 predominantly related to the lack of proper AML/CFT supervision by the ECCB and ECSRC and the proper supervision of credit unions and MSBs. The discussions between the ECCB and the FSC and the subsequent amendment to the POCA (section 152A) resulted in the FSC being allowed to operate as supervisory authority with regard to AML/CFT for these entities. Additionally, MSBs were subjected to a licensing regime under the MSBA 2010. R. 23 has been addressed at least to a level that is comparable with LC.

**RECOMMENDATION 26 – PC**

**R. 26 (Deficiency 1): The FIU is not an autonomous body.**

31. The issue was sought to be addressed by the enactment of separate legislation for the FIU. However, in the September 7, 2012 meeting of the MLRA a decision was taken to forgo this route due to the cost implications for standalone legislation. The Authorities although presenting the view that the Examiners' recommendation was met because the recommendation was a 'should consider' issue continued to try and create a more autonomous position for the FIU. Accordingly, on February 27, 2014 the Commissioner of Police and the Chairman of the MLRA signed a new revised MOU setting out and agreeing on the separation. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R. 26 (Deficiency 2): Office space is not sufficient at the FIU to adequately accommodate the staff.**

32. As a result of this deficiency, the MLRA agreed to new office space for the FIU and also included in its January 2013 budget an amount for the necessary furniture and fittings required for the new office space. The FIU moved into its new office space in April 2014. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**R. 26 (Deficiency 3): Amount of FIU staff not sufficient to allow inclusion of the DNFBPs in the regulatory regime.**

33. At the time of the onsite evaluation, the FIU comprised four (4) members of staff. In the 5<sup>th</sup> FUR it was noted that one additional member of staff had been hired. It was felt that the views on staffing as expressed by the Examiners at paragraph 271 of the MER had anticipated more than just one additional person. In April 2015 a new financial analyst began work at the FIU and accordingly the staff compliment is now six (6) persons as follows: one (1) Detective Inspector, one (1) Detective Sergeant, two (2) Detective Constables and two (2) Financial Analyst. The deficiency has been adequately addressed.

**R. 26 (Deficiency 4): No fire resistant filing cabinets for the storage of SARs.**

34. In December 2012, fire resistant filing cabinets were purchased for the FIU and immediately put into use. The deficiency has been fully addressed.

**RECOMMENDATION 26 - OVERALL CONCLUSION**

35. The budgetary approvals by the MLRA, resulted in the funding for the relocation of the FIU, the purchase of fireproof filing cabinets and the hiring of new staff. The signing of the MOU as noted above dealt with the issue of autonomy. All the measures taken have resulted in compliance with R. 26 at least to a level that is comparable with LC. *See Annex 5 for SARs reporting by sector.*

**VI. OVERVIEW OF MEASURES TAKEN IN RELATION TO OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS RATED PC/NC**

36. Anguilla has taken the following measures to address the other Recommendations that were rated PC/NC. The information in this section is presented for information purposes only and is not to be taken into consideration for Anguilla's application to exit the follow-up process.

**PREVENTATIVE MEASURES - FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

*Recommendations 9, 14, 21, 25 and SR. VII were all rated PC.*

37. Anguilla achieved compliance with R. 9 through the 2013 amendment of the AML/CFT Regulations. Section 12(b) of the amended Regulations states that the service provider must immediately obtain from the introducer or intermediary, the CDD information concerning the customer, third party or beneficial owner where a service provider relies on an introducer or an intermediary to apply CDD measures in respect of a customer, third party or beneficial owner. With regard to compliance with R. 14, sections 14 and 16 of the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act, 2013 provides that -the offence of tipping off is also applicable where a SAR is made and that protection in relation to protected and authorised disclosures is extended to financial institutions, their directors and employees from criminal or civil liability. In order to deal with the deficiencies noted by the Examiners for R. 21, sections 22A to 22D of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations 2013 enables the Commission to issue directions in relation to countries where the FATF has applied counter measures or the Commission reasonably

believes that there is a risk that terrorist financing or money laundering is being carried on the country. The Authorities have also indicated that the FSC has issued an Advisory in response to the CFATF and FATF public statements regarding countries with strategic AML/CFT deficiencies. (See. <http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml>).

38. The Anguillan Authorities in addressing their R. 25 deficiencies amended the FSC Act in 2013 and enacted the FSC Administrative Penalties Regulations. The FIU has also published annual reports since 2010 and the FSC also published 'Themed Findings of the AML/CFT Inspections' on its website. With regard to the imposition of penalties, the FSC issued two administrative penalties and two letters of intent to issue administrative penalties in 2014. The SR. VII deficiencies were addressed by amendments to the AML/CFT Code that required amongst other things originator information to stay with the transfers; the 2013 amendments to the POCA and the AML/TF Regulations. (See. Para. 20 of the 5<sup>th</sup> FUR).

#### **DNFBPs AND OTHER NON-FINANCIAL BUSINESSES**

*Recommendations 12, 16 and 24 were all rated PC, while R. 20 was rated NC.*

5. Recommendation 12 was addressed in main part when the deficiencies for R. 5 were dealt with. Additionally, the measures for the supervision of DNFBPs, was addressed by the ENRSPs Regulations, 2013 which allow for the supervision of persons providing accountancy or audit services, real estate agents, independent legal professionals and high value dealers. Based on the challenge that was made by attorneys, the Attorney General's Chambers is currently preparing an Opinion with various action plans, which will be discussed with the FSC. With regard to R. 16, issues were fully met, as a result of the measures taken to deal with attempted transactions, guidance for breaches involving tax matters and penalties for relevant businesses for R. 13. For R. 24, the ENRSPs Regulations, 2013 provides for the supervision of DNFBPs and the FSC hired a regulator for the AML/CFT Unit; specifically to assist with the supervision of the ENRSPs (including DNFBPs). Compliance with R. 20 has only been partial. The Authorities did amend the AML/CFT Regulations to capture lotteries, and the Code of Practice for Investment Business is expected to be enacted by the end of 2015 and is currently before the Executive Council to be placed on the legislative agenda. There has been no indication that the Payment Systems Act has been implemented since implementation can only be achieved by the ECCB.

**Annex 1**

<b>FACTORS/ELEMENTS</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>Aug-15</b>
	<b>INVESTIGATIONS</b>				
Money Laundering	2	4	4	2	1
Terrorist Financing	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>PROSECUTIONS - charges</b>				
Money Laundering	16	0	8	11	0
Terrorist Financing	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>CONVICTIONS based on Prosecutions</b>				
Money Laundering	2	0	0	3	0
Terrorist Financing	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>PROPERTY FROZEN, SEIZED, CONFISCATED, FORFEITED</b>				
	US\$				
Property Restrained	265,505.51	0	EC\$ 740,000 +	0	0
Property Frozen (Civil Recovery Proceedings)	0	0	0	US\$ 61,474.46	US\$ 265,505.51
Property Seized	0	0	0	US\$ 5022.00	0
Property Confiscated	0	0	0	0	0
Property Forfeited	0	0	0	US\$ 4361.00	0

**Annex 2**

**MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE BY WAY OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE  
(INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION) (ANGUILLA) ACT**

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>Aug-15</b>
Mutual Legal Assistance Received	5	3	0	3	3
Mutual Legal Assistance Sent	0	1	5	0	0

**MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE BY WAY OF THE MUTUAL LEGAL  
ASSISTANCE (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) ACT**

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>Aug-15</b>
Mutual Legal Assistance Received	0	3	0	1	2
Mutual Legal Assistance Sent	0	0	1	0	1

**Annex 3 – Register of Administrative Penalties**

Name of Licensee	Date of Notice to Impose Administrative Penalty	Nature of Disciplinary Violation	Current Position
Service provider 1 (“SP1”)	1 August 2014	Failure to complete customer risk assessments and profiles by stipulated date. Site inspection was conducted on April/May 2013	SP1 paid EC\$5,000 on 9 September 2014
Service provider 2 (“SP2”)	1 August 2014	Failure to submit action plan and make corrective action regarding the deficiencies identified in the inspection report of 2013	SP2 paid EC\$10,000 on 24 October 2014

## Annex 4

## SUPERVISION AND OVERSIGHT

Factors/Elements	2011	2012	2013	2014
<b>RESULTS</b>				
<b>R.17 (Sanctions)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 cases</li> </ul>	0 cases	0 cases	2 cases <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Administrative Penalty in the amount EC\$5,000 paid on 9 September 2014.</li> <li>Administrative Penalty in the amount EC\$10,000 paid on 24 October 2014.</li> </ul>
<b>R.23 (Reg/Supervision of FIs) &amp; 29 (powers of supervisors) and the operations of the AML/CFT Unit - the number of on-site supervisory examinations that covered AML/CFT issues; the frequency and duration of examinations; the types and range of institutions inspected having regard to ML/TF risks; the</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11 onsite examinations.</li> <li>2-3 day duration of examinations.</li> <li>Frequency: Licensees were selected on a risk based approach. With the introduction of the AML/CFT Unit in 2010, the AML/CFT examinations begun in 2011.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>12 onsite examinations.</li> <li>2-3 day duration of examinations.</li> <li>Frequency: The AML/CFT examinations continued with licensees selected on a risk based approach</li> <li>Range – included all regulated financial sectors.</li> <li>The onsite examination process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>25 examinations (onsite and offsite).</li> <li>2-3 day duration of examinations.</li> <li>Frequency: The AML/CFT examinations continued with licensees selected on a risk based approach.</li> <li>Range – included all regulated financial sectors.</li> <li>The onsite / offsite examination process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>14 examinations (onsite and offsite).</li> <li>2-3 day duration of examinations.</li> <li>Frequency The AML/CFT examinations continued with licensees and non-regulated service providers selected on a risk based approach. By 2014, the majority of the licensees had been examined.</li> </ul>

<p><b>nature of the on-site inspection, the use of other supervisory techniques e.g. offsites; and the results in terms of compliance by FIs</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range – included all regulated financial sectors (licensees).</li> <li>• The onsite examination process consists of the preparation for the examination; the onsite examination work and the post examination including the conclusion meeting.</li> <li>• Reporting forms are submitted annually for company managers, trust companies, offshore banking and money service businesses (This is a form of offsite monitoring).</li> <li>• Offshore banking sector is required to report quarterly and desk based reviews are conducted regularly.</li> </ul>	<p>consists of the preparation for the examination; the onsite examination work and the post examination including the conclusion meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reporting forms are submitted annually for company managers, trust companies and money service businesses (this is a form of offsite monitoring).</li> <li>• Follow-up examinations are carried out on licensees that have not satisfied the Commission’s recommendations.</li> </ul>	<p>consists of the preparation for the examination; the onsite / offsite examination work and the post examination including the conclusion meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reporting forms are submitted annually for company managers, trust companies and money service businesses (This is a form of offsite monitoring).</li> <li>• Other supervisory techniques include training seminars, sector specific conferences, meetings with licensees and an annual Industry Day with all service providers.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For 2015, an offsite review is scheduled to be conducted out on all company managers and trust companies to ensure compliance with specific areas of the AML/CFT legislation. Failure to comply will result in enforcement action being taken.</li> <li>• Range – included all regulated financial sectors and non-regulated financial service providers.</li> <li>• The onsite / offsite examination process consists of the preparation for the examination; the onsite / offsite examination work and the post examination including the conclusion meeting.</li> <li>• Reporting forms are submitted annually for</li> </ul>
--	---	---	---	---

				company managers, trust companies and money service businesses (This is a form of offsite monitoring).
<b>R.23 data to demonstrate - enforcement of ongoing fit and proper criteria; reviewing of the licensing procedures to ensure full requirements for ultimate beneficiaries of proposed licensees are established in accordance with the applicant documentation</b>	0 Enforcement cases	0 Enforcement cases	0 Enforcement cases	0 Enforcement cases
<b>STRUCTURAL ISSUES</b>				
<b>R.23 and SR VI: general organisation of the AML/CFT Unit; adequacy of resources (financial, staff, technical, etc. especially in relation to the “Unit’s” responsibility for registering /licensing under SR VI) and adequate</b>	2 staff in the AML/CFT Unit.	2 staff in the AML/CFT Unit.	2 staff in the AML/CFT Unit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 staff in the AML/CFT Unit.</li> <li>• The FSC has 9 Regulators (including staff in the AML/CFT Unit). The other regulators assist the AML/CFT Unit as needed with examinations and related work.</li> </ul>

<p><b>capacity/expertise (including staff background, training and professional standards)</b></p>				
<b>GUIDANCE</b>				
<p><b>Specificity of guidance to particular types of FIs and persons engaged in other business activity</b></p>	<p>Responsibilities of Insurance Managers</p>		<p>Guidelines for Introduced Business</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guidelines on Risk Management of Electronic Banking.</li> <li>• Guidelines on Combatting the Abuse of Non-Profit Organizations.</li> </ul>
<b>AWARENESS RAISING</b>				
<p><b>(Rec. 25, SRVI and SRVIII) Number of awareness raising campaigns and seminars conducted.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Industry Day</li> <li>• 2 outreach programs (NPO Sector).</li> <li>• 3 publications in the Anguillian Newspaper and FSC Website (NPOs).</li> <li>• 3 seminars (domestic banks).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Industry Day</li> <li>• Money Services Business Seminar</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Industry Day</li> <li>• Foundation Event – Compliance (AFSA/STEP/FSC)</li> <li>• Foundation Event – Company Managers (AFSA/STEP/FSC)</li> <li>• ENRSP Seminar</li> <li>• Publication in Anguillian Newspaper (ENRSP)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Industry Day</li> <li>• Captive Insurance Summit</li> </ul>

**Annex 5**

**NUMBER OF SUSPICIOUS TRANSACTION REPORTS RECEIVED  
PER YEAR SINCE 2011 AND ITS BREAKDOWN BY SECTORS**

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>Aug-15</b>
<b>Suspicious Activity Report</b>	43	54	85	66	72

<b>BREAKDOWN BY SECTOR</b>					
<b>Bank</b>	19	28	45	37	20
<b>Company Services</b>	9	5	14	9	39
<b>Trust</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Insurance</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Money Remitter</b>	8	17	17	15	10
<b>Other DNFBP</b>	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Other</b>	7	4	9	5	2

**Matrix with Ratings and Follow-Up Action Plan 3rd Round Mutual Evaluation  
Anguilla**

FATF 40+9	Rat -ing	Recommended Actions	Actions Undertaken by Anguilla	Remaining Actions to be Taken (if any)
<b>Legal systems</b>				
1. ML offense	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Anguillian Authorities should ensure that the relevant bodies engage in consistent and apposite training on the ML provision of the POCA with the aim of obtaining ML prosecutions and convictions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since POCA was passed, 11 charges of money laundering have been laid in the Court. It is anticipated that 3 additional charges will be brought to Court in the near future.</li> <li>Since the last Follow-Up Report, 16 money laundering charges have been filed before the courts in Anguilla, bringing the total to 27. Most of these cases have yet to come to trial; none has been dismissed.</li> <li>ML conviction obtained on 18 April 2011.</li> <li>2 day workshop during the last week of March 2011 on implementation of the POCA, its investigative powers and measures for freezing, seizure, confiscation and prosecution of ML offences attended by 4 members of AG's Chambers and 4 members of the FIU.</li> <li>7 Oct. 2011: 2 ML convictions obtained</li> <li>Since the last follow-up report, 9 new ML investigations have been undertaken.</li> <li>31 October to 9 December 2011: Representative of UK Crown Prosecution Services seconded to AG's Chambers for 6 weeks. Workshops conducted for prosecutors and law enforcement on all aspects of prosecuting ML offences, including restraint and confiscation.</li> <li>There were eight (8) Money Laundering convictions obtained in February 2013 in the High Court. The defendant subsequently filed an appeal which is pending.</li> <li>There are five (5) Money Laundering charges pending High Court. Charges include converting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

			<p>criminal property and transferring of criminal property.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 13<sup>th</sup>–16<sup>th</sup> March 2013: Parliamentary/Crown Counsel at Attorney General’s Chambers and investigator at RAPF attended a workshop in Bermuda. Workshop for prosecutors and investigators on investigating and prosecuting complex transnational crimes (money laundering, corruption, asset recovery, cross border assistance, organised crime and cyber-crime).</li> <li>• There are 11 Money Laundering charges pending for preliminary inquiry in Magistrate’s Court and 13 Money Laundering pending in High Court.</li> <li>• The Court of Appeal ordered a retrial in relation to the February 2013 conviction.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 October 2014: Money Laundering convictions obtained.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• All requirements met.</li> <li>• Ongoing process to obtain ML convictions and training i/n relation to money laundering and terrorist financing.</li> </ul>	
<p>2. ML offense–mental element and corporate liability</p>	<p>LC</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ML conviction obtained on 18 April 2011.</li> <li>• Prosecution of 26 ML charges under POCA currently pending</li> <li>• 7 Oct. 2011: 2 ML convictions obtained.</li> <li>• Eight (8) Money Laundering convictions obtained in February 2013 in the High Court. The defendant subsequently filed an appeal which is pending.</li> <li>• There are 11 Money Laundering charges pending for preliminary inquiry in Magistrate’s Court and 13 Money Laundering pending in High Court.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Court of Appeal ordered a retrial in relation to the February 2013 conviction.                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 October 2014: Money Laundering convictions obtained.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• All requirements met.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Currently 19 money laundering charges pending under POCA.</li> </ul>	
<p>3. Confiscation and provisional measures</p>	<p>LC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The police/FIU should endeavour to make use of the restraint, confiscation and other measure in the POCA and the anti-terrorism legislation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Since its passage in July 2009, the Reporting Authority, through the FIU, has made 31 requests under section 118(2)(b) for information supplementary to SARs, with full compliance from the institutions.</li> <li>• On the 18th of May, Anguilla received word from Denmark that a conviction had been obtained and a forfeiture order entered with regard to \$1.2 million USD currently frozen in Anguillan accounts. The necessary documentation has recently been received from Denmark and, when the Court reconvenes after the summer holiday, the Danish Forfeiture Order will be enforced in Anguilla as an external order pursuant to Schedule 3 of the POCA.</li> <li>• \$1.2MM successfully confiscated in December 2010 via enforcement of a foreign confiscation order pursuant to Schedule 3 of the POCA.</li> <li>• Using the restraint provisions of POCA, 5 Restraint Orders have been granted by Anguilla’s High Court.</li> <li>• Since the last Follow-Up Report, the Reporting Authority, through the FIU, has made 20 requests under POCA section 118(2)(b) for information supplementary to SARs, bringing the total to 51, with over 90% compliance from a wide variety of institutions.</li> <li>• 31 October to 9 December 2011: Representative of UK Crown Prosecution Services seconded to AG’s Chambers for 6 weeks. Workshops conducted for prosecutors and law enforcement on all aspects of prosecuting ML offences, including restraint and confiscation.</li> <li>• Since the last Follow-Up Report, 1 additional Restraint Order has been granted by Anguilla’s High Court using POCA’s restraint provisions of POCA.</li> <li>• Since the last Report, the FCIU has obtained 12 Production Orders under POCA section 136 for information relating to ML investigations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In June 2012, the RAPF made a cash seizure in connection with the investigation of ML and the predicate offence. An order to extend the detention of the seized cash was made under POCA section 109.</li> <li>• Since the last follow up report the Reporting Authority, through the FIU, has made 44 requests under POCA section 118(2)(b) for information supplementary to SARs. This brings the total to 95, with over 97% compliance from the wide variety of institutions.</li> <li>• There is over US\$250,000.00 restrained in a local financial institution as a result of a Money Laundering investigation which commenced in 2012. There has been some action to proceed with a civil forfeiture case for the forfeiture of the restrained money.</li> <li>• Since the last follow up report the Reporting Authority, through the FIU, has made 164 requests under section 118(2)(b) of POCA for information supplementary to SARs. There has been approximately a 98% compliance rate from the wide variety of institutions.</li> <li>• Update on 19<sup>th</sup> Feb 2014. As a result of the use of Restraint &amp; Confiscation, Civil Recovery and Cash Forfeiture powers under the Proceed of Crime Act 2009, the Anguilla FIU currently have cash and assets to the value of US\$685,000 frozen/restrained/detained.</li> <li>• Anguilla obtained the 1<sup>st</sup> Cash Forfeiture Order on 11<sup>th</sup> June 2014. US\$4,361 was seized from a member of a criminal gang involved in thefts from ATMs.</li> <li>• A Property Freezing Order was granted on 11th November 2014 to the value of US\$61,500. This is the first such order to be granted in Anguilla using the ‘Civil Recovery’ powers under the Proceeds of Crime Act.</li> <li>• All requirements met by 5th FUR.</li> <li>• A property freezing order was granted on 17th July 2015 to the sum of US\$280,500. This is the 2nd such</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			order to be granted in Anguilla using the ‘Civil Recover’ powers under POCA.	
<b>Preventive measures</b>				
4. Secrecy laws consistent with the Recommendations	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Anguillan Authorities should consider reviewing Section 20 of the FSC Act to ensure that there is no impediment to the sharing of information as contemplated by the FATF.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Application of FSC Act s. 20 will be modified by the FSC (Amendment) Act, 2010, which the Authorities anticipate will be passed in the very near future. Specifically, a new section 20A provides, in pertinent part, as follows—  “Effect of application to set notice aside  20A. (1) An application to the Court under section 20(7) does not relieve a person from compliance with the notice issued by the Commission. ... (3)Where this subsection applies, notwithstanding section 24(3), the Commission shall not disclose to any other person any information provided, or documentation produced, to it in compliance with the notice to which the application or intended application relates—  (a) unless required or permitted to do so by the Court, whether on the application of the Commission or otherwise; or  (b) as permitted by subsection (4).  (4)The Commission may disclose information or documentation to which subsection (3) relates if it has reasonable grounds for believing that the immediate disclosure of the information or documentation is necessary—  (a) to protect and preserve assets, or the value of assets, that are in jeopardy; or  (b) to assist in the prevention of the commission of an offence, whether in or outside Anguilla. ... (8)Nothing in this section affects the disclosure of any information or documentation by the Commission prior to the receipt of a notice under subsection (2)(a) or the service of the application under subsection (2)(b).”</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

			<p>In effect, a legal challenge does not relieve a person from compliance with the request. A person may still apply to the court to keep the FSC from further disclosing the information provided. However, the FSC may nevertheless immediately disclose the information if it has reasonable grounds for believing that the disclosure is necessary to assist in the prevention of the commission of an offence, whether that offence takes place within or outside Anguilla.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Industry consultation process for the Bill for the FSC (Amendment) Act, 2011 has concluded. Amendments arising from consultation are being finalised and the Bill will be taken to Executive Council for approval and placement on the legislative agenda.</li> <li>• Since the last Follow-Up Report, two requests for information were made under Section 20 of the FSC Act, with full compliance from the institutions.</li> <li>• Amendments to the FSC (Amendment) Act, 2012 are being finalised</li> <li>• Since the last Follow-Up, 2 requests for information were made under section 20 of the FSC Act, one request was fully compiled with by the service provider and the other is currently being processed.</li> <li>• Industry consultation process for the FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 has concluded. Further amendments to the Bill are now finalised.</li> <li>• This Bill was taken to Executive Council on 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2013 and was approved by Executive Council with early release. The bill will be included on the Order Paper for House of Assembly and taken to Executive Council on 28<sup>th</sup> August 2013.</li> <li>• Since August 2012, three requests for information to assist foreign regulators were made under section 20 of the FSC Act with full compliance from the service providers.</li> <li>• Section 20 of the Financial Services Commission Act, R.S.A. F28 amended by the Financial Services Commission (Amendment) Act 2013 ensured that there is no impediment to the sharing of information.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>The Financial Services Commission (Amendment) Act 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Part 4 of the Financial Services Commission Act, R.S.A. F28 as amended September 2013 ensures that there is no impediment to the sharing of information.</li> <li>• Since May 2014, there were no requests for information to assist foreign regulators under section 20 of the Financial Services Commission Act.</li> <li>• In 2014, there were two requests under Part 4 of the FSC Act. One request was for information from a foreign regulatory authority and the other providing information to a foreign regulatory authority.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the Financial Services Commission Act, R.S.A. c. F28 (“FSC Act”) (Part 4) in September 2013 satisfied this recommended action.</b></li> <li>• <b>Since January 2015, there have been two requests for information from a regulatory authority under Part 4 of the FSC Act.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>5. Customer due diligence</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Regulations and Code should expressly prohibit numbered accounts or alternatively, specify how these should be treated.</li> <li>• Regulations and Code should include private banking, trusts that operate as personal asset holding vehicles and nominee arrangements as cases in which it is recommended that enhanced due diligence be applied.</li> <li>• For clarity, the Authorities should consider providing specific guidance as it relates to the application of reduced or simplified CDD measures, as a result of required risk assessment by service providers.</li> <li>• The Authorities should clarify the legal framework for the application of administrative sanctions by the FSC, as it relates to domestic banks.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTR section 15 to add a definition of “anonymous account” that expressly includes numbered accounts is under consideration by the Authorities. The addition of such a definition would make it clear that numbered accounts are expressly prohibited. It is anticipated that drafting of an amending regulation will commence in the near future.</li> <li>• The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve this matter, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTR section 12, relating to enhanced CDD measures and on-going monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<p>is under consideration by the Authorities. It is anticipated that drafting of an amending regulation will commence in the near future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010 and 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</li> <li>• A multilateral memorandum of understanding (MMOU) provides a framework for regulatory co-operation and direct, reciprocal, communication between the ECCB, ECSRC and the domestic regulatory bodies. Anguilla is a signatory to this MMOU, which is now in force. Under the terms of this MMOU, information obtained in the course of an inspection by the ECCB or by the FSC may be shared directly with the other regulator.</li> <li>• A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code.</li> <li>• Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries, as well as the authority to impose sanctions for AML/CFT breaches, lies with the domestic regulators.</li> <li>• A consultant has been engaged to draft amendments to the FSC Amendment Act 2012 to allow the FSC powers regarding enforcement action for domestic banks.</li> <li>• FSC (Administrative Penalties) Regulations, 2012 will expand and more fully articulate the FSC’s authority to impose sanctions for AML/CFT breaches. These regulations are being finalised with</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>the legislative drafter to be taken to the Executive Council for signature.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code are being concluded and will be taken to Executive Council for approval and Gazetting.</li> <li>• With respect to numbered accounts, Anguilla will seek to amend regulate 15(2) to read as follows: “A service provider shall not set up or maintain a numbered account, an anonymous account or an account in a name which it knows, or has reasonable grounds to suspect, is fictitious.”</li> <li>• With respect to the private banking, trusts that operate as personal holding vehicles and nominee arrangements, Anguilla will seek to amend the Code to require a service provider to carry out enhanced due diligence in the examples of higher risk category customers provided in the Methodology</li> <li>• With respect to reduced or simplified CDD measures, the Board of the FSC considered the Assessor’s recommendation and determined that no action be taken in respect of that recommendation at this time. The Board noted that Anguilla’s regime of full due diligence keeps Anguilla’s standards higher than international practice. The Board agreed that the higher standard is justified. The Board will reconsider the issue at a later date, after the new methodology is published and the Revised Standards are more fully understood.</li> <li>• With respect to the private banking, trusts that operate as personal holding vehicles and nominee arrangements, Anguilla will seek to amend 11A of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Code to read as follows: “(1) Without limiting section 123 of the draft AML/CFT Regulations, a service provider shall apply enhanced due diligence measures and undertake enhanced ongoing monitoring where a customer, transaction or business relationship involves private banking, legal entities or arrangements, including trusts, that are personal asset holding vehicles and companies that have nominee shareholders or shares in bearer form.”</li> <li>• With respect to reduced or simplified CDD measures, guidance was set out in the AML/CFT (Amendment)</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>Code which makes clear that section 14 of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations sets out the only basis for simplified due diligence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In addition to drafting amendments to the FSC Amendment Act 2013, the draft Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations (“ENRSPs Regulations”) have been drafted to provide the FSC powers regarding enforcement action against the domestic banks. The industry consultation process for the draft ENRSPs Regulations has been concluded and the ENRSPs Regulations are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• The AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations and Code has been finalised and approved by Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 15 of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 amended by the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations 2013 addresses the recommended action in relation to numbered accounts. Section 15 of the AML/CFT Regulations therefore reads “A service provider shall not set up or maintain a numbered account, an anonymous account or an account in a name which it knows, or has reasonable grounds to suspect, is fictitious.”. These Regulations came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• With respect to reduced or simplified CDD measures, the Board of the FSC considered the Assessor’s recommendation and determined that no action be taken in respect of that recommendation at this time. The Board noted that Anguilla’s regime of full due diligence keeps Anguilla’s standards higher than international practice. The Board agreed that the higher standard is justified. Further, amendments to the Guidance in the AML/CFT Code 2013 highlights the exceptions to the due diligence requirements in section 14 of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1.</li> <li>• Section 11A of the AML/CFT Code 2013 requires enhanced due diligence with respect to private banking, trusts that operate as personal holding vehicles and nominee arrangements. The AML/CFT Code was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013. These Regulations allows the FSC to impose sanctions for AML/CFT breaches against regulated service providers.</li> <li>• Schedule 4 to POCA and sections 9-16 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 allow the FSC to impose sanctions against non-regulated and externally regulated service providers. These Regulations came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• Amendments to AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1, AML/CFT Code 2013, Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. P98, the enactment of the Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 and the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 in September 2013 bring the jurisdiction into compliance with recommended actions.</li> <li>• On 20 February 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders and emphasized compliance with the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• <b>The FSC issued two advance notices concerning its ability to impose administrative penalties to its licensees on 1 August 2014.</b></li> <li>• On 2 October 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders involving a panel discussion on International Regulatory Compliance.</li> <li>• On 3 October 2014, Anguilla Finance held a Captive Summit with guest speakers from the FSC on the topic “The Responsibilities of Insurance Managers.”</li> <li>• The 2013 Themed Findings of the AML/CFT examinations have been published on 20 October 2014 on the Commission’s website which assessed the licensed service providers’ compliance with obligations under the AML/CFT regime. See link – <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-</a></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p><a href="#">CFT%20Inspections_09%202014%20%28revised%292.pdf</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During the months of November and December 2014, staff of the Commission met with company managers to discuss their customer due diligence policies and procedures.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. c. P98 (“POCA”), the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98 (“AML/CFT Regulations”), AML/CFT Code 2013 (“AML/CFT Code”), the coming into force of the Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 (“Administrative Penalties Regulations”) and the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 (“ENRSP Regulations”) satisfied 1, 2 and 4 recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>With respect to the third recommended action (to reduce or simplify CDD measures), the Board of the FSC agreed that the higher standard is justified. (See comments above) Further, amendments to the Guidance in the AML/CFT Code highlight the exceptions to the due diligence requirements in section 14 of the AML/CFT Regulations.</b></li> <li>• <b>Training continues with the recent CFATF/AFSC conference held on 8 May 2015 with service providers.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>6. Politically exposed persons</p>	<p>LC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should consider including domestic PEPs in the AML/CFT framework.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should consider having the UK extend the United Nations Convention against Corruption to their jurisdiction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In April 2011, Executive Council agreed in principle to the extension of the United Nations Convention against Corruption to Anguilla and requested a legislative analysis to ascertain the changes necessary to implement the Convention.</li> <li>• The FIU has made 4 presentations to stakeholders, including financial institutions and NPOs, regarding PEPs (domestic and foreign) and the need to implement enhanced CDD when dealing with such persons.</li> <li>• An AML/CFT Seminar was held on 13 and 14 June 2011 in which the FSC, FIU and the Attorney General</li> </ul>	<p>The Authorities will request the approval from the UK to extend the United Nations Convention against Corruption to Anguilla.</p>

			<p>Chambers made presentations to industry detailing procedures for enhanced due diligence including politically exposed persons.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anguilla Authorities have considered including domestic PEPs in the AML/CFT framework and the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations have been drafted and approved by the Board of the FSC.</li> <li>• The FIU has made 4 presentations to stakeholders, including financial institutions, Money Service Businesses, NPOs and the general sector regarding the functions of the FIU, the reporting requirements, enhanced due diligence, PEPs both foreign and domestics, emerging ML/TF trends within Anguilla and the world.</li> <li>• The FIU has made three (3) presentations to two (2) financial institutions and the two registered money service businesses which covered the following topics: Terrorist Financing and Money Laundering Prevention – The Role of Financial Institutions, The Money Services Business – Identifying the Links to Crime, Taking Action Against Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing, PEPs both foreign and domestics, emerging ML/TF trends within Anguilla and the world.</li> <li>• The FIU also conducted two (2) presentations at Seminars held by Anguilla Financial Services Commission which was geared towards all sector participants. The areas covered were Tackling Something Suspicious – When to Make a Suspicious Activity Report and; Obligations under Proceed of Crime Act.</li> <li>• In relation to the inclusion of domestic PEPS in the AML/CFT framework, the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations section 5(3) and sections 12 &amp; 12A have been amended to distinguish between foreign and domestic PEPS and persons entrusted with prominent functions by an international organisation.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations have been finalized and were approved by the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• The FSC made three presentations (20 March, 10 May and 12 June 2013) to service providers and discussed enhanced due diligence procedures which included both domestic and foreign PEPs.</li> <li>• Substitution of section 5 of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 as amended by AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations, 2013 and section 12 and 12A of the AML/CFT Code 2013 allows for the inclusion of domestic PEPs. These Regulations came into force on 25 September 2013. The AML/CFT Code was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>• The FSC and FIU made a presentation to the externally and non-regulated service providers on 11 December 2013 and discussed enhanced due diligence procedures including domestic and foreign PEPs.</li> <li>• Amendments to AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 were made in September 2013 to ensure compliance with recommended actions.</li> <li>• <b>The FSC conducted 12 AML/CFT Inspections as of 12 August 2014 including review of service providers' policies and procedures for enhanced due diligence concerning domestic and foreign PEPs.</b></li> <li>• In 2014, the FSC conducted 14 AML/CFT onsite examinations and offsite reviews including review of service providers' policies and procedures for enhanced due diligence concerning domestic and foreign PEPs.</li> <li>• <b>The first recommendation was met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations in 2013 ensured compliance with first recommended action.</b></li> <li>• <b>As of 22 July 2015, FSC conducted 26 offsite reviews for the year including review of service</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<b>providers' policies and procedures for enhanced due diligence concerning domestic and foreign PEPs.</b>	
7. Correspondent banking	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Anguillan Authorities should consider extending the requirements with regard to cross-border correspondent banking to other financial institutions that may engage in similar cross-border relationships.</li> </ul>	<p>Anguilla Authorities have considered the Assessor's recommendation and, based on the low level of risk, determined that no action was necessary at this time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendments have been made to the section 42A of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Code to extend the requirements with regard to cross-border correspondent banking to other financial institutions as follows: "Sections 41 and 42 also apply to a financial business that—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) undertakes securities transactions or funds transfers on a cross-border basis;</li> <li>(b) provides finance to facilitate international trade."</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>The amendment was approved by Executive Council with early release to be taken to House of Assembly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Section 41, 42 and 42A of the AML/CFT Code 2013 extend the requirements to cross border correspondent banking to other financial institutions. The AML/CFT Code was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>Amendments to the AML/CFT Code effected in December 2013 addressed the noted recommendation (extending the requirement with regard to cross border correspondent banking to other financial institutions.)</li> <li><b>This recommendation was met.</b></li> <li><b>Amendments to the AML/CFT Code in 2013 ensured compliance with this recommended action.</b></li> </ul>	
8. New technologies & non face-to-face business	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Regulations and or Code should provide for specific guidance (in line with Basel paper on Risk Management Principles for Electronic Banking) on measures to be applied in the delivery of electronic services to effectively mitigate the risk of ML/TF through this delivery channel.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sector specific guidance is under consideration by the Authorities.</li> <li>Guidelines in line with the Risk Management Principles for Electronic Banking were presented for approval to the FSC's Board of Directors on 18 February 2014 and forwarded for publication in the Gazette and on FSC's website. See link -</li> </ul>	

			<p><a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Guidelines concerning the Risk Management Principles for Electronic Banking continue to be published on the FSC’s website. See link – <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf</a></li> <li><b>This recommendation was met.</b></li> <li><b>Guidelines in line with the Risk Management Principles for Electronic Banking were published in the Gazette and on FSC’s website Guidelines in February 2014.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>9. Third parties and introducers</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Anguillan Authorities should amend the Code or Regulations to require financial intuitions to immediately obtain CDD information (E.C. 5.3 to 5.6) from Introducers.</li> <li>The Anguillan Authorities should consider amending the Regulations or Code to include the requirement that a service provider accept introduced business solely from an introducer or intermediary who itself has face to face contact when completing CDD measures on which the service provider rely.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendment of AML/CFTC section 26(1)(e), relating to information to be obtained where the service provider intends to rely on an intermediary, is under consideration by the Authorities. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTC will commence in the near future.</li> <li>A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code. This amendment, or an amendment to this effect, remains under consideration by Authorities.</li> <li>Anguilla Authorities have considered the Assessor’s recommendation regarding a requirement that service providers accept business solely from an introducer or intermediary who itself has face to face contact and determined that no action should be taken.</li> <li>In relation to financial institutions immediately obtaining CDD information, section 13(2A) of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations provides that “Where a service provider relies on an introducer or an intermediary to apply customer due diligence measures in respect of a customer, third party or beneficial owner, the service provider shall immediately obtain from the introducer or intermediary, the customer due diligence</li> </ul>	

			<p>information concerning the customer, third party or beneficial owner.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations have been finalised and will be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 13(2A) of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 was amended by AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations 2013 to require financial institutions to immediately obtain CDD information from Introducers. The AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• <b>Amended Guidelines for Introduced Business (December 2013) with emphasis on section 13 (Reliance on Introducers and Intermediaries) of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A, P98-1 (as amended) were circulated to company managers on 23 July 2014.</b></li> <li>• During the months of November and December 2014, staff of the Commission met with company managers to discuss their customer due diligence policies and procedures including their reliance on Introducers and Intermediaries.</li> <li>• <b>The first recommendation was met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and AML/CFT Code in September 2013 satisfied the first recommended action.</b></li> <li>• <b>In relation to the second recommended action, Anguilla Authorities considered the Assessor’s recommendation regarding a requirement that service providers accept business solely from an introducer or intermediary who itself has face to face contact and determined that no action should be taken.</b></li> <li>• <b>The Board of the FSC on 29 June 2015 approved the drafting of amendments to the Guidelines for Introduced Business which incorporates models used by service providers.</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

<p>10. Record keeping</p>	<p>LC</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All service providers inspected to date (21 as of 31 July) have demonstrated full compliance with AML/CFT record keeping requirements.</li> <li>• With the enactment of the draft FSC (Administrative Penalties), which are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013, any service provider failing to demonstrate full compliance will be subject to penalties.</li> <li>• The Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• The Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013, which allow the FSC to impose sanctions against non-regulated and externally regulated service providers, came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• A notice to FSC’s licensees on 28 July 2014 indicated that the FSC intends to escalate its approach to enforcement with the imposition of appropriate regulatory sanctions when inadequate performance by licensees is encountered.</li> <li>• FSC collected fines from the imposition of two administrative penalties in September and October 2014. Two letters of intent to impose administrative penalties were sent in January 2015.</li> <li>• <b>As of 22 July 2015, FSC conducted 26 offsite reviews for the year which included review of record keeping measures.</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<p>11. Unusual transactions</p>	<p>LC</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During 2011, 60% of all SARs received by the Financial Intelligence Unit were categorised as unusual transactions. In 2012, as of July, 51% were categorised as unusual transactions.</li> <li>• As of January 2013 to July 2013 the Financial Intelligence Unit received 54 Suspicious Activities Reports which is categorised as unusual transactions. This is represented as approximately 65% of total submissions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During July 2013 to date, 69% of all SARs received by the Financial Intelligence Unit were categorized as unusual transactions.</li> <li>• For the period 01.07.13 to 31.12.13 of the 33 SARs reported 15 can be classified as unusual transaction reports (approximately 46%). For the period 01.07.14 to 14.08.14 of the 41 SARs reported 8 can be classified as unusual transaction reports (approximately 20%).</li> </ul> <p>In total of the 74 SARs reported for the period 01.01.14 to 14.08.14 23 SARs were classified as unusual transaction reports (approximately 31%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During the period 14 August 2014 to 10 February 2015 a total of thirty (30) Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) were received. Of this total, twenty-one (21) of the reports were considered to be unusual transactions. This category accounts for seventy per cent (70%) of the reports for the period.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>All Requirements met by 5th FUR.</b></li> <li>• <b>During the period 11 February 2015 to 3 July 2015 a total of 59 Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) were received. Of this total, 11 of the reports were considered to be unusual transactions. This category accounts for approximately 19% of the suspicious activity reports received for the period.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>12. DNFBP–R.5, 6, 8-11</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deficiencies identified for all regulated businesses as noted for Recommendations 5, 6, 8-11 in the relevant sections of this report are also applicable to DNFBPs</li> <li>• The outreach and training for DNFBPs, especially those which were not previously licensed by the FSC should be enhanced.</li> <li>• Supervision of the entire DNFBP sector should commence without delay.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An AML/CFT and Legal Services Unit has been established within the FSC. Responsibilities include implementation of a regulatory regime for NPOs and DNFBPs.</li> <li>• Regulations specific to DNFBPs are in the final stages of the drafting process. Authorities anticipate they will come into effect in the very near future.</li> <li>• The FSC has developed an outreach plan for DNFBPs, including a series of handshake visits by the Commission, a formal training programme jointly conducted with the FIU, and a brochure for</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<p>DNFBPs will be posted to the FSC website. This plan will be implemented immediately on the coming into force of the DNFBP Regulations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Industry consultation process for the Non-Regulated Service Providers (Registration) Regulations, 2011 concluded. Amendments arising from consultation are being finalised and the Regulations will be taken to Executive Council for approval and Gazetting.</li> <li>• Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• Industry consultation on the draft ENRSP Regulations has been concluded. The ENRSP Regulations are to be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• The Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers (ENRSPs) Regulations 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• Presentations by FSC and FIU were made to the ENRSPs on 11 December 2013 which included obligations under the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• Registration of the ENRSPs commenced on 1 January 2014 with two site inspections scheduled for 25 and 27 February 2014.</li> <li>• On 6 February 2014, a Consent Order was signed staying section 3 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013, section 152E of the Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. c. P98, section 1(f) of Schedule 2 of the Anti-Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Regulations, R.S.A. c. P98-1 as it applies to Barristers, Solicitors and Notaries Public. This matter is to be heard in June 2014.</li> <li>• Registration of service providers continues with two examinations conducted in February 2014 with a third examination scheduled for 19 August 2014</li> <li>• The matter before the Court concerning the stay of section 3 of the Externally and Non-Regulated</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>Service Providers Regulations, 2013, section 152E of the Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. c. P98, section 1(f) of Schedule 2 of the Anti-Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Regulations, R.S.A. c. P98-1 as it applies to Barristers, Solicitors and Notaries Public has been adjourned.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Registration of non-regulated service providers (excluding the legal profession due to a Court matter) continues under section 3 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013. There are four applications pending for registration of non-regulated service providers.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the POCA and the coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>Registration of the non-regulated service providers continues under section 3 of the ENRSP Regulations. For 2015, 2 applications were declined, 3 applications are being reviewed, 1 application approved and 1 application withdrawn.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>13. Suspicious transaction reporting</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Regulations or Code should be amended to make mandatory the requirement for the MLRO of a service provider to make a report to the Reporting Authority with regard to attempted transactions.</li> <li>• The Authorities should clarify the legal framework for the application of administrative sanctions by the FSC, as it relates to domestic banks.</li> <li>• Service providers should be provided with specific guidance as to how to treat with breaches that involve tax matters.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTC section 30 to add a provision removing the MLRO's discretion in the event of an attempted transaction is under consideration by the Authorities. Such a provision would make it clear that the MLRO is required to report all attempted transactions, regardless of the amount, to the Reporting Authority. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTC will commence in the near future.</li> <li>• The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve this matter, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code.</li> <li>• Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010 and 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</li> <li>• Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the ECCB confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries as well as the issuing of sanctions for AML/CFT breaches lies with the national regulators. The national regulator in this instance is the FSC. Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code necessary to implement the Assessor’s recommendation are being finalised.</li> <li>• Amendments to the Code to include guidance concerning the requirement to report tax offences are included in the draft amendments to the AML/CFT Code, which are currently being finalised. New paragraphs (x) to (xii) have been added to the Guidance Notes following AML/CFT Code section 31 (reports to Reporting Authority) and spell out specifically that reporting requirements apply to predicate offences that are tax offences or that may involve or relate to tax matters.</li> <li>• Industry consultation has been concluded. The AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations and Code reflecting the Assessor’s recommendations have been</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>finalised and will be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft ENRSP Regulations allow the Commission to supervise the domestic banks for AML/CFT compliance and to impose sanctions. The draft ENRSP Regulations have been finalised and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 29 of the AML/CFT Code 2013 requires MLROs to make a report to the Reporting Authority regarding attempted transactions.</li> <li>• Guidance concerning the requirement to report tax offences are listed after section 31 at (x) in the AML/CFT Code 2013. The AML/CFT Code 2013 was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>• The ENRSP Regulations 2013 allows the FSC to supervise domestic banks for AML/CFT purposes and to impose sanctions. These regulations came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• The enactment of the AML/CFT Code, 2013 and the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code along with the coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>14. Protection &amp; no tipping-off</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The relevant legislation should be amended so that the offence of tipping-off is applicable where a SAR is being reported.</li> <li>• The relevant legislation should be amended to make explicit the protection provided to financial institutions, their directors and employees from criminal or civil liability for breach of any restriction on disclosure of information, breach of contract etc. for reporting their suspicion in good faith.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendment of the POCA section 131(2)(a) is under consideration by the Authorities. Such an amendment would adjust the verb tense so that a disclosure in the process of being made, as opposed to one that has been made, would be captured as well. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the POCA will commence upon resolution of the issues arising from the relationship between the FSC and the ECCB/ECSRC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code.</li> <li>• Amendments to POCA necessary to implement the Assessor’s recommendation with respect to tipping off, and protection provided to financial institutions, their directors and employee are being finalised.</li> <li>• Industry consultation has been concluded; Amendments to the Proceeds of Crime Act 2013 reflecting the Assessor’s recommendations have been finalised and approved by Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 131A of the Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. P98 has been inserted by the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act, 2013 so that the offence of tipping off is applicable where there are “relevant disclosures”.</li> <li>• Section 133 of the Proceeds of Crime Act, R.S.A. P98 has been amended by the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act, 2013 to make explicit the protection offered to a service provider, director, officer or employee of a service provider from criminal or civil proceedings. The Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• Amendments to the Proceeds of Crime Act effected in September 2013 bring the jurisdiction into compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to POCA in September 2013 satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>15. Internal controls, compliance &amp; audit</p>	<p>LC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Regulations and or Code should be amended to include a requirement to maintain an adequately resourced, independent internal audit function to test compliance (including sample testing) with a service providers AML/CFT framework.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AML/CFTR section 20 and AML/CFTC section 8 are under review to determine what amendments might be made to clarify the existing provisions that establish the requirement to maintain an adequately resourced, independent internal audit function to test compliance.</li> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTC section 34, relating to the manner in which records are kept, is under</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate staff other than the MLCO should have timely access to customer identification data and other CDD information. .</li> </ul>	<p>consideration by the Authorities. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTC will commence in the near future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code.</li> <li>• The following amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code are being finalised with the consultant—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ amendment to s. 5 of the Code to include a requirement that service providers maintain an audit function to test compliance with requisite procedures, policies and controls.</li> <li>◦ amendment to s. 34 of the Code to require access to customer identification data and due diligence information to be provided to appropriate staff of the service provider.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Industry consultation has been concluded; AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations and Code reflecting the Assessor’s recommendations have been finalised and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 5 and 34 of the AML/CFT Code 2013 address the recommended actions. The AML/CFT Code was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with <b>the Governor</b>.</li> <li>• Amendments to the AML/CFT Code effected in December 2013 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met.</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to AML/CFT Code in September 2013 satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>16. DNFBP–R.13-15 &amp; 21</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The AML &amp; TF Code should be amended to include attempted transactions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTC section 30 to add a provision removing the MLRO’s discretion in the event of an attempted transaction is under consideration by the Authorities. Such a provision would make it clear that the MLRO is required to report all attempted transactions, regardless of the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other recommendations set out in section 3.7 of this Report as they relate to Recommendation 13 would also pertain to this section.</li> </ul>	<p>amount, to the Reporting Authority. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTC will commence in the near future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An AML/CFT and Legal Services Unit has been established within the FSC. Responsibilities include implementation of a regulatory regime for NPOs and DNFBPs.</li> <li>Regulations specific to DNFBPs are in the final stages of the drafting process. Authorities anticipate they will come into effect in the very near future.</li> <li>The FSC has developed an outreach plan for DNFBPs, including a series of handshake visits by the Commission, a formal training programme jointly conducted with the FIU, and a brochure for DNFBPs will be posted to the FSC website. This plan will be implemented immediately on the coming into force of the DNFBP Regulations.</li> <li>By application of section 24(2)(c) of the AML/CFTR, the requirement for DNFBPs to file SARs on attempted suspicious transactions came into effect as of 1 November 2009.</li> <li>A consultant has been engaged to draft the necessary amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code.</li> <li>Industry consultation process for the Non-Regulated Service Providers (Registration) Regulations, 2011 concluded. Amendments arising from consultation are being finalised and the Regulations will be taken to Executive Council for approval and Gazetting.</li> <li>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations and Code necessary to implement the Assessor's recommendation are being finalised.</li> <li>Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. NRSP Regulations have been renamed as the Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations and are currently being finalised by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>Sections 28 &amp; 29 of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Code has been amended to reflect the Assessor's</li> </ul>	
--	--	---	---	--

			<p>recommendation. Industry consultation has been concluded; AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations and Code reflecting the Assessor’s recommendations have been finalised and were approved by Executive Council in August 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The industry consultation process for the draft ENRSPs Regulations have been concluded and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 28 and 29 of the AML/CFT Code 2013 address the recommended action in relation to attempted transactions. The AML/CFT Code was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>• The ENRSP Regulations 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013. Registration commenced on 1 January 2014 with two site inspections scheduled for 25 and 27 February 2014 to determine compliance with the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• Guidance concerning the requirement to report tax offences are listed after section 31 at (x) in the AML/CFT Code 2013. The AML/CFT Code 2013 was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>• The enactment of the AML/CFT Code, 2013 and the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 brings the jurisdiction into compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• Registration of service providers under the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 continues with two examinations conducted in February 2014 as scheduled with a third examination scheduled for August 2014.</li> <li>• Three AML/CFT examinations of non-regulated service providers were conducted in 2014. There are three externally regulated service providers registered with one AML/CFT examination scheduled for an externally regulated service provider in 2015.</li> <li>• Registration of non-regulated service providers (excluding the legal profession) continues under</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>section 3 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013. As of January 31, 2015, there are four applications pending for registration of non-regulated service providers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>Amendments to AML/CFT Code in September 2013 satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>See comments under R. 13</b></li> </ul>	
<p>17. Sanctions</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Authorities should clarify the framework for the application of sanctions (including the levying of administrative fines on domestic banks and their offshore banking subsidiaries), given that all AML/CFT supervisory and sanction powers are vested in the FSC, which has no delegation powers in this regard.</li> <li>• The Banking Act should be amended so that ECCB could be granted the power to apply sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.</li> <li>• The Securities Act should be amended so that the ECSRC could be granted the power to apply sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve this matter, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> <li>• Amendment of the Banking Act is addressed in the FSC’s paper referred to above and will be considered by the parties.</li> <li>• Amendment of the Securities Act is addressed in the FSC’s paper referred to above and will be considered by the parties.</li> <li>• Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010 and 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</li> <li>• In the discussions noted above, amendments to the Banking Act and the Securities Act were considered. However, as these acts are harmonised throughout the region, it was determined that amending them was not a suitable solution. Instead, each regulatory authority was to determine their</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<p>powers under the AML/CFT framework, or other relevant legislation, in their jurisdiction. As noted above, the Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet its oversight responsibilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the ECCB confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries as well as the issuing of sanctions for AML/CFT breaches lies with the national regulators. The national regulator in this instance is the FSC. Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• The industry consultation process for the draft ENRSPs Regulations have been concluded and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• The Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers (ENRSP) Regulations 2013 allow for the supervision of service providers licensed by the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank and the Eastern Caribbean Securities Commission Exchange for AML/CFT purposes as well as administering sanctions. The ENRSP Regulations, 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• The enactment of Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations in September 2013 satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

18. Shell banks	C	The Anguillan Authorities should consider including an explicit prohibition of shell banks in the Regulations or Code.	<p>Shell banks are explicitly prohibited in law by the Prohibition of Licensing of Shell Banks Regulations, 2009 (No. 26 of 2009); made by the Governor in Council on 24 September, and effective from 30 September, 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Section 15 of the AML/CFT Regulations addresses the recommended action.</b></li> </ul>	
19. Other forms of reporting	C			
20. Other NFBP & secure transaction techniques	NC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All institutions other than DNFBPS and designated financial institutions, which pose a potential AML/CFT risk should be required to adhere to the FATF AML/CFT requirements.</li> <li>• Lotteries in particular should be subject to licensing and supervisory requirements.</li> <li>• The Payment Systems Bill should be implemented without delay.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The internet platform for securities training has been informed by the FSC of the need to be licensed by the ECSRC without delay.</li> <li>• The Authorities are actively considering application of AML/CFT requirements to lotteries</li> <li>• ECSRC has indicated to the Anguilla FSC that they are working with internet platform for securities trading on the licensing application process.</li> <li>• On 7<sup>th</sup> March 2013, the Executive Council approved the drafting of legislation to regulate non-domestic investment business. The FSC has retained a Consultant to draft this legislation and the first tranche of deliverables are due end of September 2013.</li> <li>• The draft Investment Business Act and consultation paper was approved by the FSC's Board of Directors and circulated for comment on 19 February 2014.</li> <li>• A policy paper to amend the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 to include lotteries as a means of capturing them for AML/CFT supervision was approved by Executive Council on 13 February 2014. Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations are currently being drafted.</li> <li>• The enactment of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 makes non-regulated service providers subject to AML/CFT supervision including certain investment business, including, but not limited to, foreign exchange trading as set out in section (1)(d) of Schedule 2 of the AML/CFT Regulations, P98-1. <b>Accordingly, the FSC has scheduled an examination for the</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<p><b>one cited investment business on 19 August 2014. Further, with the enactment of the Investment Business Bill, all such investment business will be subject to licensing requirements.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Amendments have been drafted to the AML/CFT Regulations to capture lotteries for AML/CFT Supervision. These amendments were approved by the Executive Council on 23 October 2014 and will be published in the Gazette by 31 October 2014.</b></li> <li>• The AML/CFT examination of the cited non-regulated investment business was carried out on the 19 and 20 August 2014. The FSC further published for consultation the Code of Practice for Investment Business on 18 December 2014.</li> <li>• Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations gazetted on 31 October 2014, capture the supervision of licensed lotteries for AML/CFT purposes.</li> <li>• <b>Recommendations 1 and 2 were met. (See Seventh FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations in September 2013 along with amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations in 2014 allowed compliance with recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>The sole licensed lottery has applied for registration as a non-regulated service provider under section 3 of the ENRSP Regulations. The application is currently being reviewed.</b></li> <li>• <b>In relation to recommendation 3, the Payment Systems Bill was enacted in 2010 and is to be implemented by the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>21. Special attention for higher risk countries</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regulation 22 should be amended to authorise the relevant authorities to require service providers to take appropriate actions or counter-measures for countries that do not apply or insufficiently apply the FATF Recommendations.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should consider a wider range of counter-measures that should be taken against</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amendment of AML/CFTR section 22 is under consideration by the Authorities. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTR will commence in the near future.</li> <li>• Advisory issued by FSC in response to FATF statements re Iran and DPRK, as well as countries with weak AML/CFT systems. (See</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

		<p>countries that fail to apply appropriate AML/CFT standards.</p>	<p><a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Notice%20for%20Overseas%20Jurisdictions.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Notice%20for%20Overseas%20Jurisdictions.pdf</a> for copy of Notice)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advisory issued by FSC in response to most recent FATF statements regarding countries with weak AML/CFT systems. (See <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE%20FATF_1.2012.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE%20FATF_1.2012.pdf</a> for copy of Notice)</li> <li>• The amendments necessary to meet the assessor’s recommendation regarding section 22 of the AML/CFT Regulations have been drafted and are currently being finalised.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities have considered the range of counter-measures that may be taken against countries that fail to apply appropriate AML/CFT standards. Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations have been drafted to include new provisions that set out a wider range of counter-measures and create offences for non-compliance.</li> <li>• Advisory issued by FSC in response to most recent CFATF and FATF public statement regarding countries with strategic AML/CFT deficiencies. Item # 49 and 50. <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml">http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml</a> (See copy of Notice)</li> <li>• Industry consultation has been concluded; AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations and Code reflecting the Assessor’s recommendations have been finalised and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Section 22 of the AML/CFT (Amendment) Regulations 2013 deleted section 22 of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 and substituted sections 22, 22A, 22B and 22C to give directions by the FSC to financial businesses. Sections 22 to 22C state as follows:</li> </ul> <p>“Directions may be given by Commission to financial businesses</p> <p>22. (1) The Commission may give a direction of a type specified in section 22A to a financial business, financial businesses of a specified type or description of financial business or all financial businesses, in</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>relation to transactions or business relationships with—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the government of, or</li> <li>(b) any person or persons—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) carrying on business in, or</li> <li>(ii) resident, incorporated, constituted or formed in,</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>a country in relation to which one or more of the conditions specified in subsection (2) applies.</p> <p>(2) The conditions referred to in subsection (1) are that—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the FATF has advised that measures should be taken in relation to the country because of the risk that money laundering or terrorist financing is being carried on—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) in the country,</li> <li>(ii) by the government of the country, or</li> <li>(iii) by persons resident in the country; or</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) the Commission reasonably believes that there is a risk that money laundering or terrorist financing is being carried on—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) in the country,</li> <li>(ii) by the government of the country, or</li> <li>(iii) by persons resident in the country;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>and that this poses a significant risk to the interests of Anguilla.</p> <p>(3) A direction—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) shall be given in the manner specified in section 22B;</li> <li>(b) shall be proportionate having regard to the advice given by the FATF or, as the case may be, the risk referred to in paragraph (2)(b) or (c) to the interests of Anguilla; and</li> <li>(c) may make different provision in relation to different financial businesses, designated persons, circumstances or cases.</li> </ul> <p>(4) The Commission shall take appropriate measures to monitor the compliance of financial businesses with the requirements of any directions given.</p> <p>Types of directions that may be given</p> <p>22A.(1) A direction may require the financial business—</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) to undertake enhanced customer due diligence measures—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) before entering into a transaction or business relationship with a designated person, and</li> <li>(ii) during a business relationship with such a person;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) to undertake enhanced ongoing monitoring of any business relationship with a designated person;</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>(c) to provide such information and documents as may be specified in the direction relating to transactions and business relationships with designated persons; or</p> <p>(d) not to enter into or continue to participate in—</p> <p>(i)a specified transaction or business relationship with a designated person, or</p> <p>(ii)any transaction or business relationship with a designated person.</p> <p>(2) A direction under paragraph (1)(c)—</p> <p>(a) shall specify how the direction is to be complied with, including—</p> <p>(i)the person to whom the information and documents are to be provided, and</p> <p>(ii)the period within which, or intervals at which, information and documents are to be provided; and</p> <p>(b) is not exercisable in relation to privileged material.</p> <p>(3) Where a direction includes requirements of a kind specified in paragraph (1)(d), the Commission may, either in the direction or by separate notice in writing, exempt acts specified in the direction or notice from the requirements.</p> <p>(4) An exemption may—</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) be a general exemption or may apply to a particular financial business;</li> <li>(b) be subject to conditions;</li> <li>(c) have effect for the duration of the direction or be subject to an expiry date; and</li> <li>(d) be varied or revoked by the Commission at any time.</li> </ul> <p>Procedures for giving directions and granting exemptions</p> <p>22B. (1) Where a direction is a general direction or an exemption is a general exemption, the Commission must publish the direction or exemption in such manner as it considers appropriate.</p> <p>(2) A general direction is subject to annulment by resolution of the House of Assembly.</p> <p>(3) Where a general direction or a general exemption is varied or ceases to have effect, whether on revocation or otherwise, the Commission must publish that fact in such manner as it considers appropriate.</p> <p>(4) Where the Commission gives a direction or grants an exemption to a particular financial business, the Commission must give written notice of the direction or the exemption to that financial business.</p> <p>(5) Where a direction or exemption referred to in subsection (4) is varied or ceases to have effect, whether on revocation or otherwise, the Commission must give notice of that fact to the financial business.</p> <p>(6) A direction, whether a general direction or a direction to a particular financial business —</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) may be varied or revoked by the Commission at any time; and</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>(b) if not previously revoked, ceases to have effect at the end of one year from the date that it was first given.</p> <p>Offences</p> <p>22C. (1) Subject to subsection (2), a financial business is guilty of an offence if the service provider—</p> <p>(a) fails to comply with a direction; or</p> <p>(b) for the purpose of obtaining the grant of an exemption under section 22A(3)—</p> <p>(i) provides information that is false in a material respect or a document that is not what it purports to be, and</p> <p>(ii) knows that, or is reckless as to whether, the information is false or the document is not what it purports to be.</p> <p>(2) A financial business does not commit an offence under paragraph (1)(a) if the financial business took all reasonable steps and exercised all due diligence to ensure that the direction would be complied with.</p> <p>(3) A financial business that is guilty of an offence under this section is liable—</p> <p>(a) in the case of a company or a partnership—</p> <p>(i) on summary conviction, to a fine of \$10,000, and</p> <p>(ii) on conviction on indictment, to a fine of \$50,000; and</p> <p>(b) in the case of any other person—</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>(i) on summary conviction, to imprisonment for a term of 6 months or to a fine of \$10,000 or to both; or</p> <p>(ii) on conviction on indictment, to imprisonment for a term of 1 year or to a fine of \$50,000 or to both.”</p> <p>The Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations, 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advisory issued by FSC in response to most recent CFATF public statement regarding countries with strategic AML/CFT deficiencies, item 49 <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml">http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml</a></li> <li>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations, 2013 effected in September 2013 bring the jurisdiction into compliance with the recommended action.</li> <li>The FSC continues to issue advisories in relation to countries that have strategic AML/CFT deficiencies. <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE_Ukraine%20Sanctions%20%28Overseas%20Territories%29%20No%202%20Order%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE_Ukraine%20Sanctions%20%28Overseas%20Territories%29%20No%202%20Order%202014.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE_Ukraine%20Sanctions%20%28Overseas%20Territories%29%20No%203%20Order%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/PRESS%20RELEASE_Ukraine%20Sanctions%20%28Overseas%20Territories%29%20No%203%20Order%202014.pdf</a></li> <li>The FSC continues to publish advisories in relation to countries that have strategic AML/CFT deficiencies. See Press Releases at the following link: <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml">http://www.fsc.org.ai/pubs.shtml</a></li> <li><b>Recommendations were met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li><b>Amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations in September 2013 satisfied these recommended actions.</b></li> </ul>	
22. Foreign branches & subsidiaries	C			

<p>23. Regulation, supervision and monitoring</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The POCA and its attendant Regulations should make clear the role of the ECCB as it relates to the supervision of AML/CFT implementation in domestic banks and other financial institutions licensed under the Banking Act.</li> <li>• The Directors, Senior Managers and Shareholder controllers of Money Services Businesses and Financial Co-operatives should be subject to a fit and proper test at the time of licensing.</li> <li>• Financial Co-operatives should be supervised for AML/CFT compliance.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve this matter, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> <li>• Amendment of the POCA and its attendant Regulations is addressed in the FSC’s paper referred to above. Whether such an amendment is necessary and the extent of any such amendment will be dependant on the outcome of the decisions taken by the ECCB and other parties to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• Two of the four Money Services Businesses operating on Anguilla have become licensed under the MSB Act. As such, they were subjected to the fit and proper test. The FSC is actively working with the remaining companies to complete application process.</li> <li>• Amendment of the Co-operative Societies Rules to impose fit and proper requirements on committee members and treasurers is under consideration by the Authorities.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities are considering a harmonized draft of new Co-operative Societies legislation. Such legislation would make Anguilla’s only co-operative society a “service provider” for the purposes of the AML/CFT legislation and would bring them under the supervision of the FSC for AML/CFT compliance.</li> <li>• Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010 and 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
---	-----------	---	---	---

			<p>legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As noted in the previous Follow-Up Report, 2 MSBs are licensed. The application of one of the remaining MSBs has been determined and the licence was not granted. MSB operations by that entity have been terminated.</li> </ul> <p>The application for licensing of the last MSB is under consideration, with an AML/CFT onsite visit scheduled in the next week. It is anticipated that the application will be determined soon thereafter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FSC provided AML/CFT training to the MSBs in May 2011.</li> <li>FSC along with the FIU provided several training sessions on AML/CFT training to financial institutions staff and board of directors in October and November 2010 and April 2011.</li> <li>The Anguilla FSC has engaged the co-operative society in consultations. An on-site inspection is soon to be scheduled.</li> <li>Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries as well as the issuing of sanctions for AML/CFT breaches lies with the national regulators. The national regulator in this instance is the Financial Services Commission. Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>The applications of the four (4) Money Services Businesses have been determined with two MSBs granted licences, one (1) has been denied and one (1) has been recommended for denial. In the latter case, although the decision has not been finalised, the fit and proper test was applied in making the recommendation for denial. All MSBs operating in</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>Anguilla are now licensed and were made subject to the fit and proper test.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Further consultations were held with the Executive of the co-operative society on 2 July 2012.</li> <li>• An AML/CFT Seminar was held with industry members on 13 and 14 June 2012. Presentations were made by the Chairman, Director and member of the FSC, FIU along with a feature address by the Attorney General.</li> <li>• The MSB Act sets out a “fit and proper” test in s. 5(5) of the MSB Act and, as “financial services enactment” under the Financial Services Enactments Regulations, MSBs are subject to the “fit and proper” guidelines adopted by the FSC under s. 49 of the FSC Act. Credit Unions are also subject to the FSC’s “fit and proper” guidelines, as the Co-operative Societies Act is also a “financial services enactment”.</li> <li>• On 2 November 2012, the Commission held an annual meeting with the Financial Services Industry in which the topic “Compliance in a Successful IFC” was discussed by several speakers. Further on 24 January 2013, the Commission discussed AML/CFT compliance with industry members.</li> <li>• The industry consultation process for the FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 and draft ENRSPs Regulations have been concluded. The legislation clarifies the role of the Commission as the supervisory authority of the domestic banks for AML/CFT compliance. The FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 has been approved by Executive Council and the ENRSP’s Regulations are to be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• The domestic banks have commenced registration (1 January 2014) with the FSC in its role as AML/CFT supervisor in accordance with the ENRSP Regulations 2013. These regulations came into force on 25 September 2013.</li> <li>• An onsite inspection of the sole financial cooperative was conducted on 28 January 2014.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The enactment of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• Examination of the sole financial cooperative was conducted on 28 January 2014.</li> <li>• The Money Services Business Act sets out a “fit and proper” test in section 5(5).</li> <li>• On 2 October 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders involving a panel discussion on International Regulatory Compliance.</li> <li>• <b>All recommendations were met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations in 2013 and the examination of the sole financial cooperative in January 2014 along with complying with section 5(5) “fit and proper test” in the Money Services Business Act allowed the recommended actions to be met.</b></li> </ul>	
<p>24. DNFBP - regulation, supervision and monitoring</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training and outreach to the DNFBP sector should continue.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An AML/CFT and Legal Services Unit has been established within the FSC. Responsibilities include implementation of regime for NPOs and DNFBPs.</li> <li>• Regulations specific to DNFBPs are in the final stages of the drafting process. Authorities anticipate they will come into effect in the very near future.</li> <li>• Once the DNFBP Regulations are in effect, the FSC will implement its outreach plan, including a series of handshake visits by the Commission, a formal training programme jointly conducted with the FIU, and a brochure for DNFBPs will be posted to the FSC website.</li> <li>• Industry consultation process for the Non-Regulated Service Providers (Registration) Regulations, 2011 concluded. Amendments arising from consultation are being finalised and the Regulations will be taken to Executive Council for approval and Gazetting.</li> <li>• The Financial Services Commission has, as of July 2011, further increased its capacity by filling the position of Deputy Director.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• The FSC Board has approved the recruitment of a regulator for the AML/CFT unit specifically to assist with the supervision of the NRSPs and recruitment has begun.</li> <li>• Since the last Report, the FIU has made 4 presentations to stakeholders, including DNFBPs, regarding the functions of the FIU, the reporting requirements, enhanced due diligence, PEPs both foreign and domestics, emerging ML/TF trends within Anguilla and the world.</li> <li>• The FIU has made three (3) presentations to two (2) financial institutions and the two registered money service businesses which covered the following topics: Terrorist Financing and Money Laundering Prevention – The Role of Financial Institutions, The Money Services Business – Identifying the Links to Crime, Taking Action Against Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing, PEPs both foreign and domestics, emerging ML/TF trends within Anguilla and the world.</li> <li>• The FIU also conducted two (2) presentations at Seminars held by Anguilla Financial Services Commission which was geared towards all sector participants. The areas covered were "Tackling Something Suspicious – When to Make a Suspicious Activity Report" and "Obligations under Proceeds of Crime Act."</li> <li>• On 1 February 2013, the Commission hired a regulator for the AML/CFT Unit specifically to assist with the supervision of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers (including the DNFBPs).</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The industry consultation process for the draft ENRSPs Regulations have been concluded and are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• On 11 December 2013, the FSC and FIU made presentations to the ENRSPs (DNFBPs) concerning their obligations under the AML/CFT legislation in particular the ENRSP Regulations 2013.</li> <li>• Registration of the ENRSPs commenced in January 2014 with two inspections scheduled for 25 and 27 February 2014.</li> <li>• <b>Registration of service providers continues and two examinations were conducted as scheduled with a third examination scheduled for August 2014.</b></li> <li>• On 20 February 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders and emphasized compliance with the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• On 2 October 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders involving a panel discussion on International Regulatory Compliance.</li> <li>• Registration of non-regulated service providers continues under section 3 of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013. As of Jan 31, 2015, there are four non-regulated service provider applications pending registration.</li> <li>• <b>Recommendation was met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations satisfied this recommended action.</b></li> <li>• <b>Registration of the non-regulated service providers continues under section 3 of the ENRSP Regulations. For 2015, 2 applications were declined, 3 applications are being reviewed, 1 application approved and 1 application withdrawn.</b></li> <li>• <b>A training conference conducted by CFATF and organized by the AFSC was held on 8 May 2015 for all service providers.</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

<p>25. Guidelines &amp; Feedback</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sector specific guidance on money laundering and terrorist financing should be placed in the Guidance Notes.</li> <li>• The review of the FSCA should commence as soon as possible and the range of sanctions available to the FSC be made more proportional and dissuasive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The FIU has published its Annual Report for 2009, which contains general feedback, including statistics and information on current techniques, methods and trends or typologies.</li> <li>• Review of the FSC Act has been completed and an Amending Act is in the final stages of the drafting process. It is anticipated that this Act will be passed in the very near future.</li> <li>• The FIU has published its Annual Report for 2010, which contains general feedback, including statistics and information on current techniques, methods and trends or typologies.</li> <li>• Industry consultation process for the Bill for the FSC (Amendment) Act, 2011 has concluded. Amendments arising from consultation are being finalised and the Bill will be taken to Executive Council for approval and placement on the legislative agenda.</li> <li>• Administrative Penalties Regulations made under the FSC Act are currently being drafted.</li> <li>• The FIU has published its Annual Report for 2011, which contains general feedback, including statistics and information on current techniques, methods and trends or typologies. The published annual report has been disseminated via Egmont Secure Web Portal and to the various to the sectors in Anguilla.</li> <li>• Amendments to the FSC (Amendment) Act, 2012 are being finalised.</li> <li>• The FIU has published its Annual Report for 2012 on 26/07/2013, which contains general feedback, including statistics and information on current techniques, methods and trends or typologies. The published annual report has been disseminated via Egmont Secure Web Portal and to the various to the sectors in Anguilla.</li> <li>• The 2012 Themed Findings of the AML/CFT inspections have been published on the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
--------------------------------------	-----------	--	--	---

			<p>Commission’s website which assessed the licensed service providers’ compliance with the obligations under the AML/CFT legislation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Industry consultation process for the FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 and the draft FSC Administrative Penalties Regulations has been concluded. The FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 has been approved by Executive Council and the FSC Administrative Penalties Regulations will be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</li> <li>• Additional guidance was added to the AML/CFT Code 2013.</li> <li>• Guidelines specific to banks in accordance with Risk Management Principles for Electronic Banking were approved by FSC’s Board of Directors on 18 February 2014 and published on the Commission’s website. See link below – <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf</a></li> <li>• Guidelines in relation to NPO’s were approved by FSC’s Board of Directors on 18 February 2014.</li> <li>• The Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 are now in effect in relation to regulated service providers and the ENRSP Regulations to externally and non-regulated service providers.</li> <li>• Guidelines specific to banks continue to be published on the FSC’s website. <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Guidelines%20Risk%20Management%20of%20Electronic%20Banking_02%202014.pdf</a></li> <li>• Further, amendments were made to Guidelines to Introduced Business impacting Company Managers and Trust Companies in December 2013. A notice was forwarded on 23 July 2014 as a reminder of the amendments. <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/GUIDELINES%20FOR%20INTRODUCED%20BUSINESS-%2010%20December%202013.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/GUIDELINES%20FOR%20INTRODUCED%20BUSINESS-%2010%20December%202013.pdf</a></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A notice reminding licensees of the enactment of Administrative Penalties Regulations, 2013 was circulated on 28 July 2014 indicating that the FSC intends to impose appropriate regulatory sanctions when inadequate performance is encountered. Two notices to impose administrative penalties were issued on 1 August 2014.</li> <li>• The 2013 Themed Findings of the AML/CFT examinations have been published on 20 October 2014 on the Commission’s website which assessed the licensed service providers’ compliance with obligations under the AML/CFT regime. See link – <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-CFT%20Inspections_09%202014%20%28revised%292.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-CFT%20Inspections_09%202014%20%28revised%292.pdf</a></li> <li>• FSC collected fines from the imposition of two administrative penalties in September and October 2014. Two letters of intent to impose administrative penalties were sent in January 2015.</li> <li>• In 2014, there was a suspension of a license for failure to apply adequate customer due diligence measures.</li> <li>• The FSC published seven investor alerts concerning unregulated investment business particularly forex trading and binary options. See links - <a href="http://fsc.org.ai/alerts.shtml">http://fsc.org.ai/alerts.shtml</a></li> <li>• <b>Recommendation was met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></li> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the Administrative Penalties Regulations under the FSC Act in 2013 along with the additional guidance added to the Code 2013 and other specific guidance published in the Gazette and on the FSC’s website satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>The 2014 Themed Findings of the AML/CFT examinations is currently being drafted for publication.</b></li> <li>• <b>The FIU Annual Report for 2014 was published on 22 July 2015.</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

Institutional and other measures				
26. The FIU	PC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should consider enacting separate legislation for the creation and functions of the FIU so as to alleviate the problem with autonomy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During the past year, the MLRA has actively considered many issues relevant to the administration and structure of the Financial Intelligence Unit. These issues include establishment of the Financial Intelligence Unit as an autonomous body and recruitment of additional personnel.</li> <li>• To date, the MLRA has approved—               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• rental of additional, secure, office space outside the RAPF headquarters</li> <li>• immediate recruitment of a financial analyst</li> <li>• establishment of an independent budget to cover training and other needs of the Financial Intelligence Unit</li> <li>• purchase of fire resistant filing cabinets for the storage of SARs</li> <li>• Administrative arrangements necessary to implement these decisions are currently underway.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• In November 2011, Executive Council authorised the creation of a Financial Analyst position under the Governor’s Office budget, achieving the separation of intelligence and investigative functions in line with international standards. The Financial Analyst is now in situ.</li> <li>• In May 2012, the Government of Anguilla agreed to increase the police budget to pay the salary of an Inspector-Financial Crime</li> <li>• Executive Council noted that effective Financial Crime Investigation Unit, Financial Intelligence Unit and Financial Analysis Units will require increased financial resources from the Consolidated Fund in future financial years</li> <li>• Fire resistant file cabinets have been purchased and put into use</li> <li>• New office space for the FIU and the FCIU adjacent to the Royal Anguilla Police Force building is currently under construction.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On 27 September 2012, the MLRA considered enacting separate legislation regarding the FIU. The MLRA concluded that, at this time, there were not sufficient resources available to undertake separate legislation; however, it will reconsider the issue at a later date.</li>   <li>• The position of Financial Analyst for the Financial Intelligence Unit has been filled since July 2012.</li>   <li>• The position of Detective Inspector in charge of the Financial Intelligence Unit and the Financial Crimes Investigation Unit is expected to be filled by the end of August 2013.</li>   <li>• New office space for both the Financial Intelligence Unit and the Financial Crimes Investigation Unit is expected to be occupied by the end of August 2013.</li>   <li>• Budget for the Financial Intelligence unit was approved in January 2013. The budget is expected to cover the areas of training and operational requirements for both the Financial Intelligence Unit and the Financial Crimes Investigation Unit. Also it will provide the necessary furniture and fittings required for new office space.</li>   <li>• The new Detective Inspector, Head of FIU has been in post since beginning of September 2013.</li>   <li>• The Commissioner of Police, Chairman of the MLRA, and Head of FIU have signed a new revised Memorandum of Understanding; setting out and agreeing the separation and autonomy of the FIU.</li>   <li>• The new purpose built offices for the FIU will be ready for occupation by May 2014.</li>   <li>• <b>The FIU moved into the new purpose built offices in April 2014.</b></li>   <li>• <b>The Head of FIU has begun the initial stages of a process to recruit a further member of staff into the unit. Once this process is complete the staff complement will be 6 :- 1 Detective Inspector, 1</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p><b>Detective Sergeant, 2 Detective Constable, and 2 Financial Analysts</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The recruitment process for an additional Financial Analyst has started and a number of applications have been received (the application deadline was Fri 30th Jan 2015). It is expected that the recruitment process will be completed shortly.</li> </ul> <p><b>All Recommendations met.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The new Financial Analyst started employment at the FIU on 1st April 2015. This bringing the FIU complement to 6 staff members.</b></li> </ul>	
27. Law enforcement authorities	C			
28. Powers of competent authorities	C			
29. Supervisors	PC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Banking Act should provide that the ECCB can examine licensees to ascertain compliance with other statutes that apply to these entities, especially where AML/CFT is concerned.</li> <li>The Banking Act should be amended so that ECCB could be granted the power to apply sanctions for AML/CFT breaches.</li> <li>The ECSRC should be expressly given the authority to supervise its licensees for AML/CFT.</li> <li>The MSB Act should be implemented without delay.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve this matter, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> <li>Amendment of the Banking Act is addressed in the FSC's paper referred to above and will be considered by the parties.</li> <li>Amendment of the Securities Act is addressed in the FSC's paper referred to above and will be considered by the parties.</li> <li>Two of the four Money Services Businesses operating on Anguilla have become licensed under the MSB Act. The FSC is actively working with the remaining companies to complete application process.</li> <li>Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010, 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

			<p>jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The AML/CFT Department of the FSC has scheduled 11 AML/CFT compliance visits to be conducted from July 2011 to October 2011. As of 1 September, 6 of the 11 have been completed.</li> <li>• Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries as well as the issuing of sanctions for AML/CFT breaches lies with the national regulators. The national regulator in this instance is the Financial Services Commission. Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• The AML/CFT Unit of the FSC has scheduled 17 AML/CFT compliance visits to be conducted from March to November 2012. As of 31 July 2012, 10 AML/CFT visits were been completed.</li> <li>• The MSB Act has been implemented and all MSBs operating in Anguilla are now licensed and supervised for AML/CFT compliance. Please see discussion at R.23</li> <li>• The AML/CFT Unit of the Commission has scheduled 25 AML/CFT compliance inspections to be conducted from February to October 2013. As of 31 July 2013, 15 inspections have been completed.</li> <li>• The industry consultation process for draft ENRSPs Regulations has been concluded. The Regulations clarify the role of the Commission as the supervisory authority of the domestic banks for AML/CFT compliance. The regulations are to be taken to the Executive Council in August 2013.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ENRSP Regulations 2013 came into force on 25 September 2013. These enable the FSC to supervise domestic Banks and licensees under the Securities Act for AML/CFT compliance and to impose administrative and other sanctions against them.</li> <li>• In 2013, the AML/CFT Unit of the FSC conducted 24 site inspections and 12 follow-up site inspections. In 2014, there are 27 scheduled site inspections of which five site inspections were conducted as of 27 February 2014.</li> <li>• The enactment of the Externally and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations, 2013 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> <li>• In 2014, the AML/CFT Unit of the FSC conducted 12 examinations as of 12 August 2014.</li> <li>• On 2 October 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders with a panel discussion on International Regulatory Compliance.</li> <li>• In 2014, the AML/CFT Unit conducted 14 onsite examinations and offsite reviews for compliance with the AML/CFT regime.</li> <li>• The 2013 Themed Findings of the AML/CFT examinations have been published on 20 October 2014 on the Commission’s website which assessed the licensed service providers’ compliance with obligations under the AML/CFT regime. See link – <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-CFT%20Inspections_09%202014%20%28revised%292.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/2013%20Themed%20findings%20of%20AML-CFT%20Inspections_09%202014%20%28revised%292.pdf</a></li> </ul> <p><b>All recommendations were met. (See Fourth FUR)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations in 2013 along with the implementation of the Money Services Business Act satisfied the recommended actions.</b></li> <li>• <b>The AML/CFT Unit has scheduled 50 offsite reviews for 2015. As of 22 July 2015, 26 offsite reviews were conducted.</b></li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

<p>30. Resources, integrity and training</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Customs should be provided more training in cross – border issues, and financial investigations, and asset forfeiture.</li> <li>• The FIU should expand its offices to accommodate the current staff and any future increases in staff.</li> <li>• Anguilla should obtain additional staff at the FIU to prepare for the inclusion of the DNFBPs in the regulations and such staff should include a dedicated legal advisor.</li> <li>• The FIU should be provided with fire resistant filing cabinets for the storage of their SARs.</li> <li>• The staff of the FIU should be provided with training in advanced financial investigations, civil and criminal forfeiture provisions and terrorist financing.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should provide prosecutors and Judges with training in financial investigations, civil/criminal forfeiture, ML/TF.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should consider amending the POCA to make clear the institutional arrangements between, and the roles and functions of, the policy making MLRA and the operational FIU.</li> <li>• The FSC should be provided with additional staff so as to adequately meet its supervisory functions for all the financial institutions under its supervision.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Customs officers (including Deputy Comptroller) received training in recognition of cash connected with drug cartels on 21 July 2010.</li> <li>• All Customs officers received training in risk assessment during the week of 17 August and the first week of September 2010. A risk assessment team will be formed in the near future to ensure effective implementation of risk assessment techniques.</li> <li>• Authorities are considering secondment of legal counsel to the FIU.</li> <li>• The Financial Services Commission has, as of March 2010, established a dedicated AML/CFT and Legal Services Unit, whose responsibilities include implementation of the regulatory regime for NPOs and DNFBPs.</li> <li>• During the past year, the MLRA has actively considered many issues relevant to the administration and structure of the Financial Intelligence Unit. These issues include establishment of the Financial Intelligence Unit as an autonomous body and recruitment of additional personnel.</li> <li>• To date, the MLRA has approved—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• rental of additional, secure, office space outside the RAPF headquarters</li> <li>• immediate recruitment of a financial analyst</li> <li>• establishment of an independent budget to cover training and other needs of the Financial Intelligence Unit</li> <li>• purchase of fire resistant filing cabinets for the storage of SARs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Administrative arrangements necessary to implement these decisions are currently underway.</li> <li>• Workshop for Eastern Caribbean Financial Investigators and Prosecutors sponsored by the UK FCO held in Antigua 22nd – 23rd March 2011 and attended by Crown Counsel and a member of the Financial Crimes Unit of the RAPF. Topics included review of ML in each jurisdiction including</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
--	-----------	--	--	---

			<p>legislation and typologies; establishment of regional computer investigation laboratory; international cooperation and asset sharing; functions of the Serious Organised Crime Agency; audit of financial legislation and updates in ML case law</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 day workshop during the last week of March 2011 on implementation of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2009, its investigative powers and measures for freezing, seizure, confiscation and civil forfeiture was attended by the following law enforcement authorities—             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ All members of the FIU</li> <li>○ 4 members of Attorney General’s Chambers comprising 2 prosecutors, 1 Civil Crown Counsel and 1 Parliamentary Counsel</li> <li>○ 2 Customs Officers</li> <li>○ 1 Immigration Officer</li> <li>○ Head of the FSC AML/CFT Unit</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Magistrates and prosecutor attended week long workshop in November 2010 on the Proceeds of Crime and prosecutions conducted by Mark Sutherland Williams and Dan Suter sponsored by the British High Commission’s Eastern Caribbean Financial Investigation Advisory Team.</li> <li>• High Court Judge and Registrar attended 1 day workshop during the last week of March 2011 on the Proceeds of Crime Act 2009</li> <li>• Acting Senior Crown Counsel (Criminal) attended Commonwealth Caribbean Prosecutors’ Conference April 29 – May 1, 2011. Conference topics included The Proceeds of Crime Act: The Advocate’s Perspective and Investigative Tools and Proceedings; Combating the Financing of Terrorism; Extradition: the Approach and Recommended Best Practices.</li> <li>• The Financial Services Commission has, as of July 2011, further increased its capacity by filling the position of Deputy Director.</li> <li>• Interviews have been conducted to fill vacancy on Board of Directors by September 30, 2011.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In June 2011, the secondment of a CFTC consultant to the FSC to assist with oversight and training of the Insurance Sector was approved.</li> <li>• Representative of UK Crown Prosecution Services seconded to AG’s Chambers for 6 weeks, from 31 October to 9 December 2011. Workshops conducted for prosecutors and law enforcement, including Customs, Immigration and members of the FIU and the Guns and Firearms Taskforce, on all aspects of investigating and prosecuting ML offences, including restraint and confiscation. Specifically, Customs officers attended training modules on cash seizure and asset forfeiture.</li> <li>• Financial Analyst added to staff of FIU</li> <li>• Fire resistant cabinets have been purchased</li> <li>• New office space for the FIU and the FCIU adjacent to the Royal Anguilla Police Force building is currently under construction.</li> <li>• One member of FIU attended a week-long training in the UK presented by NPIA in November 2011. Topics covered included ML/TF concepts and methods, investigation processes, gathering of intelligence, report writing, investigative tools, confiscation</li> <li>• 7-9 February 2012: Workshop attended by AGC prosecutor and member of Criminal Investigation Division (CID) on serious and organised crime investigation. Topics covered included mutual assistance and international co-operation, drug and illegal migrant trafficking, and organised crime investigation.</li> <li>• The Financial Services Commission increased its staffing capacity in March 2012 by the addition of an administrative assistant and in April 2012 by the addition of two regulators.</li> <li>• The Financial Services Commission Board has also approved the recruitment of a regulator to join the AML/CFT Unit to assist with the NRSPs and recruitment has begun</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The vacancy for the FSC Board member was filled in March 2012.</li> <li>• A consultant sponsored by the Commonwealth Secretariat joined the FSC in March 2012 to assist with the oversight and training of the Insurance Sector.</li> <li>• The FSC has finalised and implemented an AML/CFT Compliance Examination Manual to guide personnel responsible for AML/CFT compliance visits.</li> <li>• In March and April 2012, the FSC conducted 2 training sessions for personnel engaged in AML/CFT compliance activities.</li> <li>• On 1 February 2013, the Financial Services Commission hired a regulator to join the AML/CFT Unit to assist with the ENRSPs.</li> <li>• In March 2013, the Financial Services Commission provided training sessions for personnel engaged in AML/CFT compliance inspections.</li> <li>• 13th – 16th March 2013: Parliamentary/Crown Counsel at AGC and investigator at RAPF attended a workshop in Bermuda. Workshop for prosecutors and investigators on investigating and prosecuting complex transnational crimes (money laundering, corruption, asset recovery, cross border assistance, organised crime and cyber-crime).</li> <li>• A consultant sponsored by the Commonwealth Secretariat will join the FSC in March 2014 to assist with the oversight and training of the Insurance Sector.</li> <li>• All 4 members of the Anguilla FIU; 2 officers from RAPF CID; 2 officers from Anguilla Customs; and Crown Counsel from the AG’s Chambers, all received training on advanced financial investigation techniques; money laundering; criminal asset recovery; and terrorist financing as part of the two week long Anguilla Financial Investigation Course (18th to 29th November 2013). This training was delivered by a company accredited by the UK National Crime Agency (NCA).</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All course attendees have been given access to the NCA's Financial Investigators Support System (FISS) online database as an on-going learning and research</li> <li>• Further training will be delivered to staff from the Anguilla FIU; Anguilla Customs; RAPF Drugs &amp; Firearms Task Force; Anguilla Immigration Dept., at a date to be agreed in May 2014. This training will focus upon identifying and investigating Cash Couriers, and it will also include training on Terrorist Financing. This 3-day training course will be delivered by a consultant from the United Nations Office for Drugs &amp; Crime (UNODC), who is also a former Senior Customs Officer from the UK.</li> <li>• Both of these training courses are paid for from the National Forfeiture Fund.</li> <li>• On 20 February 2014, the FSC held an industry day with licensees, service providers and industry stakeholders and emphasized compliance with the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• <b>A Cash Seizure Training workshop was delivered to Customs, Immigration and Police officers by the Head of FIU on 18<sup>th</sup> June 2014. This covered both proceeds of crime and terrorist financing powers.</b></li> <li>• The FSC has hired a legally trained professional in the AML/CFT Unit to assist with enforcement issues.</li> </ul>	
31. National cooperation	C			
32. Statistics	PC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Customs should maintain statistics regarding cross-border transportation of currency and bearer negotiable instruments.</li> <li>• Customs should ensure that all cross-border incidents be reported and documented on the OTRIS system, thus providing continued and timely access to this information by Police, the FIU and other competent authorities.</li> <li>• The FIU should put in place the appropriate mechanisms to allow for the collection and analysis of</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An electronic form consistent with the Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instrument has been programmed into the OTRICS system, enabling access to the information obtained by the Police, FIU and other competent authorities. This new programming is currently undergoing beta testing and it is anticipated that it will be fully operational in the very near future.</li> <li>• Beta testing of an electronic form consistent with the Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instrument has been completed and brought into active use on the OTRICS system,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

		<p>statistics on wire transfers carried out by the financial institutions.</p>	<p>enabling access to the information obtained by the Police, FIU and other competent authorities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All data collected since the Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instruments came into use has been entered into the OTRICS system. This allows Customs to maintain statistics on cross-border transportation of currency and bearer negotiable instruments. The OTRICS system allows data to be broken down and sorted in ways that facilitate analysis. Further, entering this data into the system also allows the FIU, RAPF and other competent authorities continued and timely access to the data.</li> <li>• The FIU and FSC are currently working together to develop a template for useful statistics on wire transfers.</li> <li>• All data collected since the Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instruments came into use has been entered into the Memex Patriarch Intelligence Database (previously the OTRICS system). This allows Customs to maintain statistics on cross-border transportation of currency and bearer negotiable instruments. The Memex Patriarch Intelligence Database allows data to be broken down and sorted in ways that facilitate analysis. Further, entering this data into the system also allows the FIU, RAPF and other competent authorities continued and timely access to the data.</li> <li>• Agreement has been obtained from all four (4) local banks that they will provide the MLRA (via the FIU) with quarterly statistics in respect of incoming and outgoing wire transfers. This will include information relating to the originating and destination countries for wire transfer.</li> </ul> <p><b>All Recommendations met.</b></p> <p><b>The FIU is presently receiving information in respect of all outgoing and incoming wire transfers from all 4 banks and 2 Money Services providers.</b></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

33. Legal persons – beneficial owners	C			
34. Legal arrangements – beneficial owners	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is recommended that the registration of a trust be made mandatory by law. This would allow the competent authorities at a minimum, to be duly aware of all trusts (and their accompanying due details) existent in Anguilla.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As an alternative to mandatory registration of trust, amendments were made to section 18 of the AML/CFT Code to enhance the identification of trustees and other parties as follows: “(a) by repealing and replacing subsection (3) with the following – (3) The service provider shall request additional information from the trustee, protector or enforcer of the trust when the nature of a business relationship or occasional transaction for a trust that it is required to identify is of such a nature that the service provider reasonably believes that additional information is required; (b) in subsection (4)(b) by deleting the phrase “who the service provider determines presents a higher level of risk.”</li> <li>The AML/CFT Code 2013 was published in the Gazette (Volume 40, No. 20 - 11 December 2013) after consultation with the Governor.</li> <li>Amendments to section 18 of the AML/CFT Code, 2013 ensured identification information on trusts and trustees in all circumstances.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation was met.</b></p> <p><b>Amendment to the AML/CFT Code in 2013 satisfied the recommended action.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>International Cooperation</b>				
35. Conventions	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anguilla should request, forthwith, extension of the said un-extended Conventions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After receiving advice from the HMG in relation to the conventions, Anguilla will send a letter to HMG during the first week of March 2014 indicating that Anguilla is now confident that adequate legislation is in place to meet the requirements to request the formal extension of Palermo Convention and 1999 Terrorist Financing Convention.</li> <li><b>The UK has approved the extension of the Palermo Convention and the 1999 Terrorist Financing Convention to Anguilla.</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
36. Mutual legal assistance (MLA)	C			

37. Dual criminality	C			
38. MLA on confiscation and freezing	C			
39. Extradition	LC		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acting Senior Crown Counsel (Criminal) attended Commonwealth Caribbean Prosecutors' Conference April 29 – May 1, 2011. Conference topics included Extradition: the Approach and Recommended Best Practices.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
40. Other forms of co-operation	C			
<b>9 Special Recommendations</b>				
SR.I Implement UN instruments	LC		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After receiving advice from the HMG in relation to the conventions, Anguilla will send a letter to HMG during the first week of March 2014 indicating that Anguilla is now confident that adequate legislation is in place to meet the requirements to request the formal extension of 1999 Terrorist Financing Convention.</li> <li><b>The UK has approved the extension of the Palermo Convention and the 1999 Terrorist Financing Convention to Anguilla.</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
SR.II Criminalize terrorist financing	LC		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During 2010, the RAPF undertook an investigation of suspected TF activities. The investigation was recently concluded with no charges being brought.</li> <li>Information gleaned from SARs relating to possible TF activities has been shared via Egmont with competent authorities in the relevant jurisdictions.</li> <li>Since the last Report, information retrieved from SARs relating to suspected TF has been disseminated to competent authorities in the relevant jurisdictions.</li> <li>Since the last Report, information retrieved from Suspicious Activities Reports by the Financial Intelligence Unit relating to suspected Terrorist Financing has been disseminated to competent authorities in the relevant jurisdictions via the Egmont Secure Web portal.</li> </ul> <p>All Recommendations met.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

			Between the period 11 February 2015 and 10 August 2015 the FIU has retrieved intelligence from 31 SARs related to suspected terrorist financing. The intelligence related to these SARs was disseminated to competent authorities in the relevant jurisdiction via Egmont Secure Web portal.	
SR.III Freeze and confiscate terrorist assets	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Authorities in Anguilla should duly arrange a less vulnerable process of listing and de-listing.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Sanction Orders are forwarded to the Attorney General's Office from the Foreign Commonwealth Office via the Governor's Office. These Sanction Orders are published in the Gazette to be effected. Upon such publication, the FSC publishes a notice concerning the Sanction Order on its website.</b></p>	
SR.IV Suspicious transaction reporting	PC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Regulations or Code should be amended to make mandatory the requirement for the MLRO of a service provider to make a report to the Reporting Authority with regard to attempted transactions re financing of terrorism.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amendment of AML/CFT section 30 to add a provision removing the MLRO's discretion in the event of an attempted transaction is under consideration by the Authorities. Such a provision would make it clear that the MLRO is required to report all attempted transactions, regardless of the amount, to the Reporting Authority. It is anticipated that drafting of the recommended amendments to the AML/CFTC will commence in the near future.</li> <li>See response at R.13.</li> <li>See response at R.13.</li> <li>See response at R.13.</li> <li>See response at R.13.</li> <li>See response at R.13</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation was met (See Fifth FUR)</b></p> <p><b>The amendment to AML/CFT Code in 2013 satisfied this recommended action.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
SR.V International cooperation	LC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Anguillan Authorities should criminalise the commission of terrorist acts as particularized and free standing crimes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research for precedents of similar legislation has begun.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review of legislation with a view to drafting legislation to criminalize the commission of terrorist acts as particularized and free standing crimes.</li> </ul>
SR.VI AML requirements for money and value transfer services	PC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All existing MVTs service operators should be licensed under the new MSBA without delay.</li> <li>Licensed MVT service operators should be required to maintain a current list of agents. Such a list should be made available for inspection by the FSC.</li> <li>Section 17(3) of the MSBA should refer to mandatory obligations under both AML and CFT enactments.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two of the four Money Services Businesses operating on Anguilla have become licensed under the MSB Act. The FSC is actively working with the remaining companies to complete application process.</li> <li>Licensed MVT service operators are required by Section 9 of the MSB Act requires that an MSB may only carry out business at the location identified in</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

			<p>its licence or approved by the Authority (the FSC). Any change in locations (whether by adding or terminating an agency relationship) must be notified to the FSC. As noted above, two of the four MVT service operators in Anguilla are licensed and the FSC is actively working to licence the remaining 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A request for such amendment has been made to the Legislative Drafting Unit.</li> <li>• As noted in the previous Follow-Up Report, 2 MSBs are licensed. The application of one of the remaining MSBs has been determined and the licence was not granted. MSB operations by that entity have been terminated.</li> <li>• The application for licensing of the last MSB is under consideration, with an AML/CFT onsite visit scheduled in the next week. It is anticipated that the application will be determined soon thereafter.</li> <li>• The applications of the four (4) Money Services Businesses have been determined with two MSBs granted, one (1) has been denied and one (1) has been recommended for denial. In the latter case, although the decision has not been finalised, the fit and proper test was applied in making the recommendation for denial. All MSBs operating in Anguilla are now licensed and were made subject to the fit and proper test.</li> <li>• Amendments to the Money Services Business Act to require licensees to maintain a list of agents and to comply with AML/CFT obligations were passed in the House of Assembly on 20 October 2014 and will be assented and gazetted by 31 October 2014.</li> <li>• Amendments to the Money Services Business Act were gazetted on 31 October 2014.</li> </ul> <p><b>All recommendations were met. (See Seventh FUR)</b></p> <p><b>The licensing of the money services businesses under the Money Services Business Act satisfied the first recommended action.</b></p> <p><b>Amendments to the Money Services Business Act in 2014 satisfied the second and third recommended actions.</b></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

<p>SR.VII Wire transfer rules</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Code should explicitly address the issue of a payment chain that may include a series of intermediaries and beneficiary financial institutions whereby each party in the payment chain should be required to ensure that full originator that accompanies a wire transfer is transmitted with the transfer.</li> <li>• The regime for supervision and sanction powers for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries should be clarified.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The FSC has prepared a paper addressing the need to resolve the ambiguities relating to supervision and sanction powers for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries, and other related issues, and circulated same to the ECCB and other countries who subscribe to the ECCB Agreement.</li> <li>• The matter of regulatory collaboration with ECCB/ECSRC/FSC had been afforded a confirmed place on the agenda of the ROC regional video conference scheduled for September 03, 2010.</li> <li>• Discussions were held with the FSC and ECCB on 3 September 2010, 3 December 2010 and 4 March 2011. It was agreed that responsibility for the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their off-shore subsidiaries, including the ability to enforce sanctions for non-compliance, was to lie with the domestic jurisdiction. For Anguilla, this decision places the responsibility with the FSC. The Anguilla Authorities have requested an analysis of Anguilla’s legislative framework to ensure that the FSC has the necessary legal authority to meet this obligation.</li> <li>• Correspondence dated 7 June 2012 from the Deputy Governor at the ECCB confirmed that the responsibility of the AML/CFT oversight for domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries as well as the issuing of sanctions for AML/CFT breaches lies with the national regulators. The national regulator in this instance is the FSC. Additional amendments to the Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations necessary to incorporate supervision of entities licensed by the ECCB and ECSRC have been drafted. Externally Regulated and Non-Regulated Service Providers Regulations under review by Anguilla Authorities.</li> <li>• The industry consultation process for the FSC (Amendment) Act 2013 and draft ENRSPs Regulations have been concluded. The legislation clarifies the role of the Commission as the supervisory authority of the domestic banks for AML/CFT compliance. The FSC (Amendment) Act has been approved by Executive Council. The</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
-----------------------------------	-----------	---	--	---

			<p>ENRSPs Regulations are to be taken to Executive Council in August 2013.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Part 9 of the AML/CFT Code 2013 addresses the issue of a payment chain that includes a series of intermediaries and beneficiary financial institutions.</li> <li>• Section 9 of the AML/CFT Regulations, R.S.A. c. P98-1 “Application of Regulations and Code outside Anguilla” refers to domestic banks and their offshore subsidiaries.</li> <li>• The enactment of the AML/CFT Code, 2013 and amendments to the AML/CFT Regulations, R.R.A. P98-1 ensured compliance with the recommended actions.</li> </ul> <p><b>All recommendations were met. (See Fifth FUR)</b></p> <p><b>The coming into force of the ENRSP Regulations and amendments to the AML/CFT Code in 2013 satisfied the recommended actions.</b></p>	
<p>SR.VIII Nonprofit organizations</p>	<p>NC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should undertake an outreach programme to the NPO sector with a view to protecting the sector from terrorist financing abuse.</li> <li>• The Anguillan Authorities should ensure that AML/CFT policies which specifically pertain to the NPO sector are finalized and implemented without delay.</li> <li>• Outreach programs which include AML/CFT training should be devised to provide instruction for the NPO sector.</li> <li>• A supervisory authority for the NPO sector should be designated without delay.</li> <li>• A mandatory registration/licensing system for all NPOs should be implemented as soon as possible.</li> <li>• The purpose and objectives of all NPOs should be publicly known.</li> <li>• NPOs should be required to adhere to the AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• The AML/CFT Code specifically for NPOs should be finalized and implemented without delay.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An AML/CFT and Legal Services Unit has been established within the FSC. Responsibilities include implementation of a regulatory regime for NPOs and DNFBPs.</li> <li>• Implementation of the NPO outreach programme is a high priority for the Unit and has included the following, to date:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a series of handshake visits by the Commission to NPOs during the month of August</li> <li>• a formal training program jointly conducted with the FIU will take place September 8, 2010</li> <li>• an informational brochure for NPOs has been posted to the FSC website at: <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/NPO%20Brochure.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/NPO%20Brochure.pdf</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>• NPO Regulations were signed and Gazetted on 28 May 2010. They include policies regarding required disclosures and record keeping.</li> <li>• Part 2 of the NPO Regulations establishes the FSC as the supervisory authority and outlines its functions and duties as such. These specifically</li> </ul>	<p><b>Recommended Action 8 to implement an AML/CFT Code specifically for NPOs was considered by the Board of the FSC. Based on a review of the NPO Sector in which it considered the AML/CFT risk minimal, the Board of the FSC published guidelines in line with the FATF Best Practices (June 2013) in February 2014</b></p>

			<p>include monitoring for compliance with AML/CFT legislation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 5 of the NPO Regulations requires that all NPOs must be registered unless they are exempt. An NPO is exempt if its gross annual income does not exceed \$5,000ECD and its assets do not exceed \$10,000ECD. The registration process is due to be fully implemented by 31 October 2010, as indicated by section 14.</li> <li>• Section 4(2) requires that the purpose and objectives, as well as the identity of the persons who own, control or direct the NPO shall be maintained in a public Register.</li> <li>• As noted above, NPOs will be monitored for compliance with AML/CFT legislation.</li> <li>• Implementation of the NPO Regulations is currently under way. Initial response from the sector has been positive and many NPOs have applied for registration. The FSC is currently taking steps to identify those NPOs that have not applied for registration and initiate enforcement action.</li> <li>• The AML/CFT Department of the FSC, as part of their NPO outreach programme, published three news releases in the local newspaper and on the FSC website. These news releases are as follows:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safeguarding of the Non-Profit Organisations (NPOs) Sector in Anguilla, which can be found at <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs.pdf</a></li> <li>• Safeguarding of the Non-Profit Organisations (NPOs) Sector in Anguilla – Best Practice Principles, which can be found at <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs-Best%20Practice.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs-Best%20Practice.pdf</a></li> <li>• Safeguarding of the Non-Profit Organisations (NPOs) Sector in Anguilla – Review of NPOs Regulations, which can be found at <a href="http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs-Regs.pdf">http://www.fsc.org.ai/PDF/Safeguarding%20NPOs-Regs.pdf</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The NPO outreach programme was continued with presentations made by the FIU regarding potential abuse of NPOs and PEPs (domestic and foreign) and the need to implement enhanced CDD when dealing with such persons.</li> <li>• Registration of the NPOs continues to be positive with 25% increase in registration since the last follow up report.</li> <li>• From September 2011 to date, the FIU has made 4 presentation to stakeholders, including NPOs, regarding the functions of the FIU, reporting requirements, enhanced due diligence, PEPs both foreign and domestics, emerging ML/TF trends within Anguilla and the world.</li> <li>• There was an increase of 93% NPO registrations within the past 12 months.</li> <li>• In 2013, there were fifteen (15) NPOs that registered under the NPO Regulations 2010.</li> <li>• Draft NPO Code was approved by the FSC’s Board of Directors on 18 February 2014 with minor amendments. Draft NPO Code circulated to industry for comment by 26 February 2014. The NPO Code is with the AG Chambers for final review to be published by 31 March 2014.</li> <li>• <b>The FSC continues to maintain a public register of NPOs.</b></li> <li>• <b>Further amendments were made to the NPO Code in line with the FATF Best Practices (June 2013) and circulated to industry. The consultation process was concluded in August 2014. The NPO Code is being finalised and will be effected by 31 October 2014.</b></li> <li>• In 2014, there were six NPOs newly registered while ten NPOs were exempted from registration pursuant to the Non-Profit Organization Regulations, 2010.</li> <li>• A review of the applications for registration under the Non-Profit Organization Regulations, 2010 showed that there are 75 registered NPOs. Thirty seven percent of the NPOs are registered for social purposes, 24% for religious purposes and 17% for charitable purposes. Based on a review of the</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>activities of the registered NPOs, the AML/CFT risk is minimal. On that basis, the Board of the FSC agreed in February 2014 to publish guidelines in line with the FATF Best Practices (June 2013) rather than issue a NPO Code. See link below:</p> <p><a href="http://fsc.org.ai/PDF/NPO%20Code.pdf">http://fsc.org.ai/PDF/NPO%20Code.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Recommendations 1-7 were met. (See Seventh FUR)</b></p> <p><b>The coming into force of the NPO Regulations in 2010 satisfied recommended actions 1-7.</b></p>	
<p>SR.IX Cash Couriers</p>	<p>PC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anguillan Authorities/H.M. Customs should remove the incorrect signage with regard to the declaration at its ports of entry.</li> <li>• Anguilla should include in their POCA a section specifically relating to the seizure of cash and bearer negotiable instruments at their borders.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incorrect signage has been removed; replacement signage will be ordered as soon as austerity measures are lifted.</li> <li>• Amendments proposed to the Customs Act providing specifically for the requirement to declare cash and bearer negotiable instruments at the border (including in the post) and for seizure of same. Amending Act to come into force 30 September 2010.</li> <li>• An electronic form consistent with the Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instrument has been programmed into the OTRICS system, enabling access to the information obtained by the Police, FIU and other competent authorities. This new programming is currently undergoing beta testing and it is anticipated that it will be fully operational in the very near future.</li> <li>• Customs (Amendment) Act, 2010 came into force on 30 September 2010. It contained the following provisions:  Importation and exportation of goods by post  ... (2A) Without prejudice to subsection (1) or (2), any person who—  (a) claims a letter or postal package arriving in Anguilla; or  (b) posts a letter or postal package in Anguilla for transmission abroad;  which contains currency, cheques or monetary instruments, or any combination thereof, of or</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

			<p>exceeding \$27,000, or the equivalent in any currency or combination of currencies, shall declare and make a report of same in such form and manner and containing such particulars as the Comptroller may direct.</p> <p>(2B) Any person failing to declare and make a report as required under subsection (2A) is guilty of an offence and is liable to a fine of \$10,000 or 3 times the value of the currency, cheques or monetary instruments not declared or reported, whichever is the greater.</p> <p>Customs control of persons entering or leaving Anguilla</p> <p>(2) Any person entering or leaving Anguilla shall—</p> <p>(a) if he is in possession of currency, cheques or monetary instruments, or any combination thereof, of or exceeding \$27,000, or the equivalent in any currency or combination of currencies, shall declare and make a report of same in such form and manner and containing such particulars as the Comptroller may direct;</p> <p>...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Any person failing to declare any baggage or thing as required under this section is guilty of an offence and is liable to a fine of \$10,000 or 3 times the value of the thing not declared or the baggage or thing not produced, as the case may be, whichever is the greater.</li> <li>• Incorrect signage has been replaced with accurate signs.</li> <li>• All 4 members of the Anguilla FIU; 2 officers from RAPF CID; 2 officers from Anguilla Customs; and Crown Counsel from the AG's Chambers, all received training on Terrorist Financing as part of the Anguilla Financial Investigation Course (18th to 29th November 2013). This training was delivered by a company accredited by the UK National Crime Agency.</li> <li>• Further training will be delivered to staff from the Anguilla FIU; Anguilla Customs; RAPF Drugs &amp; Firearms Task Force; Anguilla Immigration Dept., at a date to be agreed in May 2014. This training will focus upon identifying and investigating Cash</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	---	--

			<p>Couriers, and it will also include training on Terrorist Financing. This 3-day training course will be delivered by a consultant from the United Nations Office for Drugs &amp; Crime (UNODC), who is also a former Senior Customs Officer from the UK.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both of these training courses are paid for from the National Forfeiture Fund.</li> <li>• The Customs Cash Declaration forms are recorded electronically on the OTRICS database.</li> <li>• The Governor’s Office has agreed to the purchase of passport scanners and scanners for customs/immigration forms. The officers will be able to match forms alongside passenger’s information in OTRICS.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendations were met. (See Second FUR)</b></p> <p><b>Amendments to the Customs Act in 2010 along with sections 106 and 108 of POCA satisfied the recommended actions.</b></p>	
--	--	--	--	--